

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

MAY 29, 1974

10:30 O'CLOCK A. M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Lord God our Father, where there is enmity and unrest in our midst, let me show an attitude of peace. Where there is injury or hurt let me demonstrate healing and pardon. Where there is insecurity and doubt among us, let me become a bearer of faith. And where there is despair, let me show forth hope. Where there is sadness or sorrow in our midst, let me be a herald of joy. When we forget Your presence among us, fill us with Your spirit. When we act as though You are far, far from us, remind us that it is we who are far from You but that we live and move and have our very being in You. And when we forget to pray for ourselves or ask Your blessing upon tasks, then remember those who prayed for us and hear their prayers for Your mercy's sake. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bill of the following title the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 1262, 1283, 1323, 1351, 1398, 1469, 1476, 1485 passed the Senate May 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to the following Senate Joint Resolution. Senate Joint Resolution 69 concurred in by the Senate May 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Bluthardt from Elections to which House Bill 2675 was referred reports the same back



with recommendations, the Bill 'do pass'. Mr. Soderstrom, from Elementary and Secondary, to which House Bill 2484 was referred, reports same back with recommendations the Bill 'do pass'. "

Speaker Blair: "Introductions, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2846, Ralph Dunn, amends the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2847, Grotberg et al, amends the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2848, McCormick et al, amends the State Employees Group Insurance Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2849, Washburn et al, appropriates \$34,967,512.48 to the Teachers Retirement System, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2850, Washburn et al, appropriates money in the School Fund, Superintendent of Public Instruction, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2851, Washburn et al, appropriates \$49,590,000 to the Comptroller, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2852, Berman, appropriates \$183,900.....ordinary and contingent expense, State Board of Education, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2853, Williams, appropriates \$87,000, Illinois Institute for Environmental Quality, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2854, Williams, appropriates \$150,000 for the Department of Transportation, First Reading of the Bill. Agreed Resolutions..."

Speaker Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 966, Sevcik, et al. House Resolution 968, Schlickman, et al. House Resolution 969, Hyde, et al. House Resolution 970, Tipsword, et al. House Resolution 971, Tuerk, et al. House Resolution 972, Geo-Karis, et al. House Resolution 973, Hyde, et al. House Resolution 974, Geo-Karis, et al."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are the Agreed Resolutions, House Resolution 966



congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Thomas J. Shields, of Lyons, upon the celebration of their Golden Anniversary. House Resolution 968, commends James Aubry, of DesPlaines, upon his many achievements, academic and personal, at St. Viators High School. House Resolution 969, commends Marillac High School for its tremendous success. 'High School' 970 congratulates the members of the Illiopolis High School Girls track team. House Resolution 971, congratulates the owners of 'The Bell' stores, on the occasion of the...ah...'Bosses Night at The Bell'. And, House Resolution 972, by Representative Geo-Karis, congratulates Pat Wells for his long and tireless devotion to the public good. House Resolution 973, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Michael Vestuto, on the occasion of their 61st Wedding Anniversary. And, House Resolution 974, marks the birthday of our colleague, Representative 'Giddy' Dyer...on the occasion of her 17th birthday... and she's not here now so we are spared a song....And, Mr. Speaker,Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "Your name was not mentioned...Your name was NOT mentioned, Mrs. Geo-Karis...For what purpose.... for what purpose does the Lady from Lake arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,ah...I'd like very much to ask both sides of the aisle if they'd join in singing 'Happy Birthday' to a very distinguished Lady and Member of the House....'Giddy' Dyer, who is our Senior Republican woman in the House. 'Happy Birthday to you; Happy Birthday to you; Happy Birthday..dear 'Giddy', Happy Birthday to you.' The reason I took this occasion to sing 'Happy Birthday' is... I know Bill Walsh would never give me another opportunity later."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor of the



adoption say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I am a little late on this but would you have the record indicate that Representative Mann and Representative Martin are absent because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. Further Res.... Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 975, Tom Miller, et al. House Resolution 976, Caldwell. House Bill 927, Tom Miller, .."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Miller, do you want your....want that read a second time? Do you want 927 read a second time?"

Clerk Selcke: "Okay. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 927, page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller...on the Amendment....Committee Amendment #1."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, is it proper for us to adopt a Committee Amendment on the floor? Is that necessary?"

Speaker Blair: "Right."

Miller: "All right, thank you....Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 927 is designed to tighten the Insurance Code of Illinois. Through the efforts of the Director of the Department of Insurance, the insurance industry and Members of the Insurance Committee, over the period of a year, the House Insurance Committee was able to develop a Bill.....pardon me...was able to develop a Bill that will ...ah...restrict a flow...or...erosion of the premium tax in the State of Illinois. In 1972 it was estimated by the Director of the Department of Insurance, the State of Illinois lost over 5 million dollars in premium tax money because of certain insurance companies not paying their premium tax when they might have been responsible for paying that. And, Amendment #1, better



5.
defines the Insurance Act by ...ah..outlining more carefully those companies that are considered domestic insurance companies in the State of Illinois. And, I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Is there further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2049,"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Day, do you want that read?"
Day: "Go ahead."

Clerk Selcke: "A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act.... 39...Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?...Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2079....Skinner..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner, do you want that read?"
Clerk Selcke: "Cal, do you want this read?"

Speaker Blair: "You don't want it read.....Take it out of the record."

Clerk Selcke: "2089, Garmisa,"

Speaker Blair: "He isn't there....take it out of the record.."

Clerk Selcke: "Sparky, do you want it?...Hold it? Okay. 2108..."

Speaker Blair: "2108..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2108, Tom Miller, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 3 of the Law Enforcement Officers and Firemen's Compensation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?..."

Speaker Blair: "Amendment from the Floor."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Tom Miller, amends House Bill 2108 on page one, line 11 and so forth."

Miller: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2108 increases from \$10,000 to \$20,000 the



amount that the State pays to the wives and children of policemen and firemen killed in the line of duty in our State. And, under Amendment #1, what it will do is make the benefit payable to all the beneficiaries of policemen and firemen ...a broad plane...after January 1st, 1974. In other words it will make it a little bit retroactive. The Bill is filed in November of 1973 and right after the first of the year, I think we witnessed, I know we did, the sudden deaths of several policemen and firemen in our State....and I think it would be proper for us.... if we are inclined to vote for the Bill to support Amendment #1 and I ask for your vote."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Tuerk, amends House Bill 2108 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 to House Bill 2108 takes care of a little problem with the Volunteer Fire Department in a little Village of Elmwood which is in our district, in the 46th District. There seems to be some question as to whether or not these volunteer firemen would be covered under the Act. I've talked to the Sponsor of the Bill. He's amenable to the Amendment and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question is on the adoption. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and.....Is there discussion desired? Oh!....All right, is there demand for a Roll Call? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. All right, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Further Amendments, Fred? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2125, Timothy Simms, a Bill for an



Act to exempt meals served under federal Nutrition Program for the Elderly, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Did you say Third Reading on that?"

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2168....Timothy Simms, amends the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor?....Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2195,"

Speaker Blair: "Take that out of the record.."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2201.....No Amendments.....Do you want to read that? She's not here."

Speaker Blair: "Who isn't?"

Clerk Selcke: "Giddy."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, she is, she's right there."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh!....I didn't see her. 2201, a Bill for anDyer....a Bill for an Act to Enact the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2234, Stiehl, a Bill for an Act to authorize the imposition of Municipal Use Taxes and County Use Taxes, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends printed House Bill 2234, page one and so forth.....Sissy Stiehl...."

Speaker Blair: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2234 is a tax equalization Bill. It equalizes the Sales Tax with the Use Tax on items purchased out of State and returned to Illinois for registration and titling. The Committee Amendment #1 simply emphasizes reemphasizes the language that determines that the Department of Revenue will be the agency to determine



the exemptions. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2242, Gene Hoffman, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Superintendent of Instruction, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2243, Gene Hoffman, a Bill for an Act relating to instructional media and services in the elementary and secondary schools. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2343, Ron Hoffman, amends the..."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute....wait a minute..."

Clerk Selcke: "... the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill..."

Speaker Blair: ".....Mr. Hoffman here?.....Mr. Hoffman here?"

Clerk Selcke: "No Amendments, Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the record....then..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2348,ah....Boyle....Not here? Not here?....Give me the next Bill....House Bill 2355,Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Blair: "WhoseMr. Hill....All right....we took this out of the record...Now we're on some new Amendments. Number 7.....7....All right.."

Clerk Selcke: "Hill. Jack Hill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I talked to Representative Ryan, I don't see him on the Floor now, but he had agreed to Table Amendment #7."

Speaker Blair: "All right....wait a minute here....Mr. Walsh....for what purpose do you rise? We're finding Ryan."



Walsh: "For the purpose of making an introduction, Mr. Speaker. It is my pleasure to introduce....ah..with us today, one of the outstanding restaurateurs from Chicago and his lovely wife, Charlie Schulian and Mrs. Schulian. They're in the Gallery, on the Democratic side, with Steve Schickle of the WGN News. Keep an eye on your wallet, Charlie."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Maybe I should explain that ...ah...in Tabling Amendment #7, we have found that that appropriation is in Senate Bill 1560."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? All right. Wait a minute. Mr. Washburn, is that correct?"

Washburn: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there objection to the Gentlemen's Motion to Table? Hearing none, then.... Amendment #7 is Tabled. Now, further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #8, Hill. amends House Bill 2355, page 4 and so forth....Hill..."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this provides for an appropriation of \$21,400 to compensate employees and vendors for losses from fire in December of 1973. I move for adoption."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Ask the Sponsor a question? It says 'employees and vendors'. Now, who are the vendors and what's that for?"

Hill: "This had to do with a fire that took place within the department and the employees did lose some of their tools and.....clothing and this is part of this appropriation. In regard to the vendors, apparently vendors lost some equipment in the fire too. At first we thought we should go through the court of claims and then we got an opinion saying that they could not go through the court of claims, that this was the proper way to



handle it. And the appropriation is for \$21,400."

Hunsicker: "What did the vendor lose? Does that include pop and candy machines and what have you?"

Hill: "The explanation I have in front of me doesn't cover that part of it. I would be more than happy to find out for you."

Hunsicker: "I'd be happy to know because I don't think the State is liable for the vendor that puts a cigarette maching or candy machine or something like that in a State Building, he should carry his own insurance. I don't think the public owes him insurance on his machines."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the privilege of introducing to you 100 members of² the graduating class of the Douglas Elementary School, located right across from my House, in the heart of my District, the Distinguished Lady, Representative Susan Catania, Represents that District along with me and Jim McLendon, over here, represents the district. This is just 100 members. If you'll indulge me a little later on they are going to bring the other hundred in here. They are with their teachers Ms. Virginia Johnson, Mrs. Etheleen Chaney, Mrs. Ella Berry, Mrs. Bliss Putter and Mr. E. Brooks. I want them to know that you're on the side with the Little Giant and your school is named for that man ...right there...Stephen A. Douglas. And, your school is located in the Douglas Subdivision which was a part of the Douglas Farm during the days of the Civil War. We welcome you to Springfield. The historic home of Abraham Lincoln, the great emancipator, and we congratulate you on sticking to it until you've graduated and we want you to know that you must continue your education because your color, your race or nothing else makes you equal, it's your books that will make you equal to any man."



Speaker Blair: "All right, the question's on Amendment, the adoption of Amendment #8. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #9. Hill. Amend House Bill 2355, page 2, by striking line 5 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, this is an appropriation for \$70,000 to take care of the expense of implementing the Mobile Home Safety Act. I appreciate adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion. Question's on the adoption of Amendment #9. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #10. Keller. Is he here? Amendment #10. Amend House Bill 2355 on page 2 by inserting immediately after line 36 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shurtz."

Shurtz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #10 is the sum of \$250,000, appropriated to the Department of Public Health for the operation of the Mt. Vernon Human Service Center. This Amendment provides for maintenance, housekeeping, laundry, food preparation administrative costs for the operation of the Mt. Vernon Human Service Center. I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2356. Washington. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies of State government....."

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2358. Mugalian."



Speaker Blair: "Is Mr. Mugalian here? Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "2460, they want to hold that. 2419. Representative Krause. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental Appropriation to the Department of General Services. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2419, page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Committee Amendment #1 is.... reduces the appropriation by \$112,500. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2458."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. DiPrima. Is Mr. DiPrima here? Take it out of the Record. Mr. Shea."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2458. A Bill making a supplemental appropriation to the Illinois Veterans Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2458 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea on the Amendment."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? Mr. Schlickman asks Mr. Shea to explain Amendment #1."

Shea: "I didn't hear the question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schlickman asks you to explain Amendment #1."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it increases personal services from \$1,418,000 to \$1,447,000. It increases the amount for the State contribution to the Retirement System and the Social Security, which ends



up to be about a little over 100 thousand dollars and it makes reductions in certain grant-in-aid programs of about \$50,000. It increases the total budget \$50,000 over it... at the figure it was introduced."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Are we talking about the same Bill?"

Shea: "We're talking about 2458."

Schlickman: "Yes, which in its original form was simply a supplemental appropriation of \$53,000 for cost of living, salary and adjustments."

Shea: "What's the explanation say? What it does is it changes it from a Supplemental Appropriation to a transfer among certain line items within the original budget. It transfers \$31,680 from the Viet Nam Veterans Compensation grant-in-aid to operations of personal services, retirement and social security and what it does, the Amendment shows the original appropriations and then the increases of \$31,680."

Schlickman: "By this Bill, we are increasing the supplemental appropriation from \$53,000 to what?"

Shea: "It looks like it would be the supplemental appropriation plus some transfers within the agency line item transfers."

Schlickman: "Do the transfers constitute a net change of nothing?"

Shea: "It would appear that they do."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussions? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2511. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to Amend Section 1 of an Act authorizing counties the levy of tax and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2511 on page 2 immediately after line 5 and so forth."



Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 simply clarifies that we are not placing any kind of a limitation on a home rule unit and I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? Question's on the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Bradley. Amend House Bill 2511, page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Chairman, or Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, we added Section 2 to the ah... to the Bill which should have been included and it provides the Referendum portion of the Legislation and I move for the adoption of the Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, is there discussion? Question's on the adoption of Amendment #2, to House Bill 2511. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2512. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2519. Gentleman wants that held. 2561. LaFluer. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to make improvements on the west bank of the DuPage River in Cook County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the other half of the class of 200 is now in the east



gallery. They come from the 22nd District, represented by Representative Susan Catania, a very distinguished Lady who sits over here, James McLendon down here and myself. I said to the Class before you that I would introduce your teachers, Miss Esther Jackson, Mrs. Evelyn Pedigo, Deborah Davis, Mr. Simik and I believe that is all. I said to the Class that you are on the side with Little John. That's his picture you see there and your school is located in the Douglas Subdivision, which during the Civil War was his farm. I said to you over here was the famous distinguished, a blessed Member, emancipator, Abraham Lincoln, and it was the Little John who made a famous speech that united Illinois in the Civil War, stand by your flag. I also said to the other Class that now that you're graduating, I want you to continue because your race, your color, your creed, will not make you equal. The thing that will make you equal is books. Keep your heads in those books and get all of the education you can because there's no schedule on life's stream that you cannot obtain. We welcome you to Springfield and we welcome your teachers and we hope you will continue."

Speaker Blair: "Next Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2565. Krause. Bill for an Act in relation to payments for public transportation services and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 2565 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is Committee Amendment that deals with the transportation. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor explain the Amendment?"

Krause: "Yes, it removes the Bi-state from the Bill."



Clerk Selcke: "It's a mistake on the Calendar. The actual number of the Bill is 2565. It's a mistake by the printer on the Calendar."

Schlickman: "By this Amendment, then, you would be excluding two areas. One would be the Northeastern Illinois County area and the other would be the Bi-state area."

Krause: "No, no. Just my own area."

Schlickman: "Which you are excluding?"

Krause: "Right."

Schlickman: "Why?"

Krause: "We have a separate Bill for it."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any further discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amend House Bill 2565 by striking Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #2 defines what a transportation carrier is and it also sets up the procedure, whereby the Department of Transportation might make payments where a demonstration of need is concerned, prior to the submitting of the carrier, or to the rider and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my pleasure to introduce students from our Savior Lutheran School of Zion and Emmanuel Lutheran School



of Waukegan. These people are from the 31st District, which is very capably representative by Representatives Geo-Karis, Griesheimer and Matijevich."

Speaker Blair: "Where are they?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2573. Telcser. Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Appellate Defender. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2573 on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 reduces the appropriation by \$58,000. It was an Agreed Amendment in Committee by both sides of the aisle. I now offer to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2573."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Could you tell me, and this is to the main Bill, is this full funding for this program?"

Telcser: "Yes, that's right."

Shea: "Now, last year we provided 50% of the funds and now this year, we're expected to provide the full funding for this. Is this correct?"

Telcser: "That's correct."

Shea: "All right. Where did the \$58,000 reduction come from?"

Telcser: "I think it's from Personal Services. Let me look for the Amendment. I don't remember off-hand. It was \$48,000 in Personal Services and the rest was Social Security."

Shea: "Well, what I'd like to know is we were expected to fund an Appellate Defender Program. Shortly after we passed the Bill out last year, this Agency put adds in the Springfield paper, looking for social workers to contact people in jail. Now do you know if these social workers are in this budget?"

Telcser: "No, they are not."

Shea: "Can you assure me of that, Art?"



Telcser: "Well, if you want to hold the Bill on Second, Jerry, I'll talk to the people in the office. To my knowledge, there are no social workers in the Public Defender's Office."

Shea: "Would you please? Would you hold this on Second?"

Telcser: "Sure, I'd be glad to. Certainly."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2624. Bradley. Appropriation for Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2628...."

Speaker Blair: "No, take that out."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2666. Merlo. A Bill for an Act to add Sections 28e of the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 2666, page 1, by deleting line 24 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 is a clarifying Amendment. It makes the language more specific as to the intent and the objective of the Bill and also provides that the lower tax classification would apply to Federally aided housing development. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2. Amend House Bill 2666 page 1, by deleting lines 18, 19 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 is also clarifying. The tax relief could only be applied to entities for non-for-profit nature and I move the adoption."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2, to House Bill 2666. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #3. Amend House Bill 2666, page 1, by deleting line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker, clarifies the Bill in that the accepted definition of the limited distributor, mortgagor, would be that which would be defined by the National Housing Act. I move the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2666. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2709. Davis. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation of Fair Employment Practices Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2709, page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment #1. It does not change the amount. There was money for contractual service left and it went for investigators service and it has been o'kayed by the Committee. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2709. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Davis. Amend House Bill 2709."



Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I move to Table Amendment #2, Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #2. Any discussion? Hearing none, Amendment #2 is Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3. Davis. Amend House Bill 2709 as amended on page...."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #3 raises the amount to 99.1. This is the amount the Agency asks for. We were told in the Committee that they would.... that the request would be turned over to staff and I want to be frank and tell you that the staff recommended 43.8. The staff recommended one investigator, one compliance officer and one clerical to process the work, but sitting in the gallery is Mayor Jordan and the FPC Commission. Now let me say that the work of this Commission has been increased over 37% because they now have the women and most of the cases are complaints of women. They simply don't have the money. They're sitting here asking me to try this Amendment and let me say this to you, that his Excellency, the Governor of the State of Illinois, his Legislative liaison, has approved of this amount. It was the originally amount they asked for and I ask you in the light of the fact that their work has been increased. That if you care, I can go in and give you the figures of the number of cases they were unable to handle because they did not have the staff we put the women in, they did not have the investigators, they did not have the hearing officers and Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of Amendment #3. It's been okayed by his Excellency, the Governor, and this is the first time we've been able because he has been so kind to even come near to funding our FEPC. If



anybody questioned it, Michigan, just gave the State of Michigan and Don Mohr's taken us over there to look at the computer service, the State of Michigan just gave its FEPC \$4 million and they don't have the population we have. I move the adoption and ask your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I arise to oppose Amendment #3 which increases as, Representative Davis says, the FEPC Bill by some \$99,000. We have offered, or will offer, Amendment #4, if Amendment #3 is defeated increasing the amount by \$43,800. Increasing the same line item, that Representative Davis' Amendment #3 does, but to a lesser amount, an amount determined by both the Democratic and the Republican Appropriation staff Members, so our Amendment #4, which would be proposed following this one, would still give them a substantial increase, a sufficient amount of money to effectively carry out their duties and operation and I oppose Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in order to get the Bill in proper perspective, for Representative Davis has pointed out is quite true, Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee based on the premise when Representative Davis had the Amendment he is offering now, would be reviewed by both staffs. I sat down and looked at the workload and in turn looked at the original budget request to the Department or the Bureau of the Budget and the FEP's original request included this dollar amount. What Representative Davis pointed out, as far as the increasing workload, it is there. For this reason, I would hope that upon proper review, I don't know who did the analysis on your side of the aisle, as far as Amendment #3, but for this reason



I told Representative Davis I would support Amendment #3 based upon the full funding practice as was submitted to BOB. I would hope that the House would concur with Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I noticed that Representative Davis also has Amendment #4, which apparently means that this charade that we're going through is, if Amendment #2 is Tabled, which is similar to Amendment #4, and Amendment #3 fails, he will agree to accept Amendment #4 and what he is trying to do by Amendment #3 is increase the Appropriation by 99,000, but is willing to accept Amendment #4, which was our original analysis and appeared to be adequate and by his introduction of Amendment #4, which will follow this, it's apparently his Agreement also, that if he fails in three, he'll accept four, so with that kind of logic, it appears to me that three is not needed and we should go back to the original #2, which would Table, which would be the same as 4, for those reason, I think. I oppose Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, Representative Davis to close."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what the distinguished Chairman has said of the Appropriation is true. The staffs did analyze, but the staffs cut in half the amount of money that the Commission needed and I talked with his Excellency, the Governor of this State. Let me tell you how long I've been begging for money. Under our distinguished Speaker, the Honorable Ralph Smith, of blessed memory, I took upon myself to write to Senator Percy and Senator Percy, I wrote to him and asked him could he help me to get some more money for FEPC. At that time, we had about \$600,000 and he wrote back and helped me to increase this



budget. We haven't had any increase since. Now, I simply say to you that you amended the budget. You amended the Bill to include women. I went to my Governor of this State, Governor Walker, his liaison men, and they had requested this amount a long time ago and it only doubles the amount. For instance, if we look at the original Bill, \$70,000 of this money is supposed to come from the Federal government. Well, I'm going to tell you, they're not going to get the \$70,000 from the Federal government because we don't have any initiatory powers here. The cases are going to have to be sent back, a lot of them, and they'll be lucky if they get \$40,000 of that money, because they cannot handle the EEO cases here in Illinois, because the EEO cases are cases that have been reported by the Committee with initiatory powers, and I say to you now and here, that since the case load has been doubled, they have all of these Ladies now and all they asked for in the beginning was this \$99.3 and all they asked for in the beginning was Personal Services \$62,000, retirement \$4,000, social security \$3,400, contractual \$1,600, travel \$4,000, printing \$5,000, telecommunications \$3,000. Makes a total of \$99,000. The staff has cut it in half. Personal service \$30,000. Retirement \$2,000. Social Security \$1,400, and contractual \$6,000. It was cut in half and they're not going to be able to do their work. If you would come over here, I would show you some cases that the women have put in now that they must go out and investigate. There must be a complaintant and they must face their boss and they're not going to get the money from the Federal government. In this original Bill, you will see where \$70,000 of this money is suppose to come to come from the Federal money. They will not get it. They might get \$40,000, but they won't get \$70,000 because we do not have the vehicle to get the \$70,000. I beg



you now, since the Governor and his liaison men, since the Governor of the State, Dan Walker, has approved of this full funding of FEPC, I had to go to Senator Percy once, and he helped to get it when Ralph Smith was in. Now, I was able to go to our own Governor, thank God, and he has said we could have that money. Please give it to them. Please Gentlemen vote for this. It's just one-half of the 43 that they recommend."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2709. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, just let me point out that the appropriation for the Fair Employment Practices Commission for FY 74, the current fiscal year is \$859,100. They have requested and is now contained in the Bill \$923,500 and our staff recommends an additional \$43,800, which would bring the total to \$967,300, some \$117,000 more than they are currently operating under during the fiscal year."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 68 'ayes', 37 'nays', one answering 'present'. Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #3 prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Davis."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #4. All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments? Third Reading. 2754. Is Representative Berman on the Floor? 2754."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2754. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill and so forth."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "This Amendment #1? Committee Amendment? This is a typographical error that was in the Bill. I move the adoption of House Bill Amendment #1, to House Bill 2754."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of..... Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, appropriately, the officers and Members of the Lake County Young Republican Federation are in the Gallery on the Republican side. They are led by their President, Randy Berning, who is the son of our colleague Senator Berning. They're right here in the Gallery."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2754. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. House Bill 2778."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2778. A Bill for an Act to amend a Section of the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2790." Take it out of the Record. And 2791? All right. House Bill 2798."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2798. Shea. A Bill for an Act to authorize the transfer of certain State land, Chicago Park District for use of public parks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 2799."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2799. Shea. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll call these Bills next week, but I have agreed, if the



House will approve it, to bring these Bills back to Second Reading and accept an Amendment from Mr. Sharp and Mr. Washburn on a transfer of some property down in their district."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Third Reading. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Maragos: "What.... I also have the same commitment, Mr. Shea, for one of my Amendments that I may want to put on as well. The Minority Leader agrees because I want to get it through the Department of Conservation.... the Agriculture Committee, if it can wait until I can get that next Thursday. Then I'll be glad to proceed. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was not on the Floor at the time that House Bill 2343 would have been read a Second time. I ask that it be read and moved to Third Reading at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. O'kay, House Bill 2343."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2343. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Are there Amendments, Representative Hoffman? Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now that we've finished moving Bills on Second Reading, I would ask leave of the House to suspend the appropriate Rule to move Senate Bill 1409 and bypass Committee, bypass Rules Committee and move to the Order of Second Reading. This is a corrective piece of Legislation that I've cleared with both sides of the aisle and it does reflect upon the



budgetary process of the park district in the State of Illinois. I would ask leave of the House to suspend this Rule."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I would like an expression from the Majority Leader as to whether this is kosher today. It wasn't yesterday. I tried to get the transfer of funds from the Department of Revenue that had to do with the Lottery Bill. We're losing a million and a half dollars a week because the narrow-mindedness of some leadership."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "If the Gentleman is making a Motion to ah...."

Giorgi: "Your man is."

Walsh: "Who is?"

Giorgi: "Your man."

Walsh: "I oppose whoever is making the Motion. I'm not in favor of a Motion to do anything but send this Bill through the regular course. As I mentioned yesterday, there is sufficient time for this Bill to be heard in the Appropriations Committee and to come out on this Floor, be debated and pass and signed. I don't favor the Gentleman's Motion, whoever he is."

Giorgi: "Good enough for me."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think we're speaking of two different things. The Bill that I'm asking leave on, is not an Appropriation Bill and I had discussed it with the Leadership on both sides of the aisle. It's corrective Legislation. Any Appropriation Bill certainly we would all anticipate going through the Regular Committee process. The Bill I have in question here is corrective

Legislation that would be pertinent to the budgetary process of the park districts in the State of Illinois and they are in the process of putting together a budget and we find there is confusion in the present Statute, and that is why the Senate has bypassed Committee for this Bill and has moved it along and this is why I would ask the Leadership of both sides of the aisle if we could do the same here."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman on the other side led me to believe that Representative Hoffman was making a Motion with respect to the Lottery Bill. He's not doing that at all. He's, indeed, talked with me about the Motion that he has. The Motion that he has, in my opinion, is entirely appropriate because there are park districts that are making up their budgets now and are very much confused because of some Legislation that passed a year ago, so his Motion, I feel, is appropriate but it has nothing whatever to do with the Motion that Representative Giorgi made yesterday and I would oppose his Motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Just to clarify some issues here. You know, the Lottery Bill was passed about three months ago and the People of Illinois have been waiting patiently and there's an opportunity here to transfer some funds into the Department of Revenue, so that we won't be losing a million and a half dollars a week because of the pig-headedness of some of the Leadership."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hirschfeld: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker....."

Giorgi: "And the issue....."



Hirschfeld: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. I think Representative Giorgi is out of order. We're not discussing the Lottery at all. We're discussing Representative Hoffman's Motion to bypass Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "I think your Point's well taken, Sir.

Representative Hoffman has put a Motion to discharge the Committee on County and Township where the Bill is now reposing and have the Bill placed on the Order of Second Reading. Is there any discussion with respect to the Gentleman's Motion. If not, is there leave to use the attendance roll call as the affirmative roll call on the Gentleman's Motion? Hearing no objection.... you object? Gentleman has moved to discharge the Committee on Counties and Townships from consideration. Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you rise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just found out that Senate Bill 1409 was assigned to our Committee, I think, this morning. I missed part of the debate on this Bill. What is the reason for discharging the Committee?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, do you wish to explain, Sir?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The reason we are trying to hurry this Bill along is that a lot of the park districts presently are preparing their budgets and Senator Fawell, who has prepared this to correct legislation that he had passed last year, feels that a lot of the park districts would jeopardize their tax lay for the next year if they're improperly put together, so we are trying to get this through the House, passed and signed as rapidly as we can."

McMaster: "Ron, I'm not in opposition to your move to discharge Committee. I just want it understood that it is not the fault of the Committee that we have not been



able to proceed with more quickness on this and I say we just found out this morning that we had the Bill assigned to us."

Hoffman: "That's correct, Representative. At the time I cleared it with both sides of the aisle, this was still in the Rules Committee and had not been assigned to your committee, and if I had known, I would have certainly taken the courtesy of going over and speaking to you on it. However, at the time, it was still residing in the Rules Committee and I cleared it to discharge the Rules Committee at that time."

McMaster: "Well, I'm not in opposition to your move. Good luck to you on your vote, Ron."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, could you come up to the Podium for a second. We're trying to resolve this. Just take a Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Blair, from the Committee on Rules to which House Bill 2848 was referred reported sending back the recommendation the Bill be referred to Committee on Assignment of Bills to assignment of a standing committee."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, now, let's get back to Representative Hoffman's Motion. Representative Pierce, are you seeking recognition? Are there objections to using last Gentleman has moved to suspend..... Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think.... a Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Giorgi: "If you read Rule 67b, you'll note that he didn't comply with the Rules of the House to put his Motion. 67b, Sir. It's not on the Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, do you wish to respond, Sir?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, certainly the compliance of this was because I didn't have written notice, and I certainly will attempt to do that. The only thing



I would say, Representative, at this time, is we're doing it, not for our benefit, but for the benefit of the park districts, who are experiencing extreme problems on this. Because your wishes, at this time, if you persist, I will withdraw it. I will submit a written notice."

Giorgi: "And if you can put that in the Bill. Put the Giorgi name in the Bill, I'll accept that also."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Calvo, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, just a moment ago, you called ah... House Bills 2790 and 2791, which are shown as Committee of Revenue sponsors. In the Bills that they considered, I had sponsored a Bill and it was part of the Bills that they considered in coming up with the compromise legislation. I would like leave of the House to be added as a co-sponsor to those two Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, are there any objections to adding Representative Calvo as a co-sponsor. Hearing none, that will be journalized and those two Bills are still on Second Reading, by the way. Representative Lauer, for what propose do you rise, Sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, again with regard to House Bills 2790 and 2791, as another one of those who had a Bill making input into those Committee Bills, I would like to be listed as a co-sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, no objection. Your request will be journalized. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1285. Oh, I'm sorry. Senate Bills, Second Reading. 1349. No, take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 1400, an Appropriation Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1400. G. L. Hoffman. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1400 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have Amendment #2 for Senate Bill 1400. I've discussed this with the Appropriations Committee on both sides of the aisle, and I would like to Table Committee Amendment #1, which was a reduction of \$400,000 and my Amendment #2 will add \$31,000 back to take care of another problem, so I would move, at this time, to Table Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Are you reducing this or what are you.....?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1400. All in favor, 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. G. L. Hoffman. Amend Senate Bill 1400 on page....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a reduction from \$12,700,000 to \$12,331,000, the supplemental appropriation to the common school fund and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1400. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to introduce the Seventh Grade Class from St. Joseph Grammar School, represented in the General Assembly by Representatives John Fary, Walter Kozubowski and John Wall. They're in the Gallery behind me here."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rose, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Rose: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, by way of announcement while we're pausing here, Judiciary I Committee will not meet this afternoon. The meeting has been postponed until a week from Friday, a week from Friday, two hours prior to convening of the session. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday we had some confusion by virtue of the late hour and two Committees, as result, met in the same room and the Insurance Committee of the House wants to express its appreciation to Representative Carl Soderstrom who was kind enough to move his Committee to another room to accommodate us. It was a very gracious gesture and we want the House to know that Carl extended himself for the, not only the Committee on Insurance but the entire House. Thank you, Carl."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I have been requested by the Majority Leader to read the following Resolution, 974. 'Whereas May 28th, 1974, marks the birthday of Representative Giddy Dyer, Illinois' radiant southern magnolia blossom; and whereas Giddy' was born in Davidson, North Carolina and educated at Agnes Scott's College in Decatur, Georgia; and whereas Giddy's father was a college President and her mother was a nurse; and whereas Giddy has followed in her Mother's footsteps for the fight for women's rights, by sponsoring equal rights amendments; and whereas Giddy observed her cousin, Senator Sam Ervin, and resolved that the ripe young age of 6 to some day enter politics herself and whereas Giddy has admirably fulfilled her



early aspirations by enthusiastically participating in public life at all levels, and whereas Giddy has made outstanding contributions to society and civic life, by serving on the DuPage County Board of Supervisors, by serving as a Republican Precinct Committee person and as the first President of the DuPage Republican Workshop, and whereas Giddy has been a devoted servant of humanity through her activities as a hospital volunteer and with the PTA, the American Association of University Women, the League of Women Voters, the Union Church, the Hinsdale Auxiliary Unit, the Community Nursing Service, the DuPage Tuberculosis Association of Public Health Counsel of DuPage County, and whereas Giddy continues to give selfless service to her constituents by serving as an expiring, ever-loving Member of this House, and whereas Giddy has exhibited continued perseverance of the service of the People, by serving as Chair Person on the Committee of Higher Education as a Member of the Committee on Human Resources in Revenue; whereas Giddy is a devoted companion of her husband, Robert C. and the beloved Mother of her son, Robert and her daughter, Winn; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate our distinguished colleague, Representative Giddy Dyer of Hinsdale, DuPage County, Illinois and justly accord her high praise on her birthday and wish her many more to come; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Representative Dyer. I congratulate you, too, Mrs. Dyer."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer, and Giddy, Jack has put your age up on the Board."

Dyer: "I'm very touched by this and assuming this is an Agreed Resolution, I just want you to know that I'll be glad to accept the same Roll Call on the ERA Resolution."



I would also like to announce that in a few moments, a cake, a birthday cake, with plenty for everybody, will be brought in and it will be up here on the bench on the Republican side. You're all cordially invited when you see it come in to come up and participate and I wish each of you much happiness on this day and thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 977. Lemke et al. House Resolution 978. Kent et al. House Resolution 979. Juckett et al. House Resolution 980. Kelly et al. House Resolution 981. Kelly et al."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh offers to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor, 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted O'kay, House Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2640. A Bill....."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Could you give us the game plan on what you're going to do, in what order and why you're getting to the Bills in the order you're getting to them in?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're calling the Bills, House Bills, Third Reading in order by which they expire."

Shea: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're taking all the RTA Bills in order by which they expire. Their expiration date."

Shea: "Well, that's what I wanted to know. You're taking Transportation Bills...."

Speaker Telcser: "RTA Bills."

Shea: "RTA Bills, by the date that they expire?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2640. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The position that we are in now, with respect to House Bill 2640 following the various Amendments that were either adopted or rejected to it, I think in summation, we can say that every major criticism that was raised concerning the RTA during the referendum campaign, is satisfied in House Bill 2640, with the exception, of course, of the fact that there is no option in either the County Board or on the part of the people in referendums to disconnect. That's unfortunate in my judgement, but nonetheless, that is the condition that the Bill is in now. Now let me just quickly and I think this is important, at least for those of you who have constituencies in the six county RTA area, outside the City of Chicago, in any event, as to just what the Bill would do to the existing RTA law. The first thing it would do would be to increase the size of the RTA Governing Board from 9 to 21 Members, so as to provide each County with at least one Board Member. The specific proposal maintains the political balance, if you will, that is in the existing law. It is 4-2-2. This is 10-5-5. Ten Members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Chicago with the advice and consent of the City Council. The Mayor is now empowered to appoint four Members. Five Members appointed by the suburban Members of the Cook County Board. Presently, it is empowered to appoint two Members and one Member from each of the five outer counties to be accounted by the County Board Chairman of each county, with the advice and consent of the full county board, presently, two Members appointed by the majority vote of the five outer County Board Chairman. The Chairman selected by the other..... Could I have a little order, Mr. Chairman? A Chairman, selected by the other RTA Board Members, which is the same as the present provisions: Now all existing



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

safeguards, relative to the RTA Board's decision making are preserved. Three-fourths of the Board Membership must concur to select a Chairman, that is, 15 of the 20 and two-thirds of the Board Membership, that would be 14, must concur in implementing key decisions involving the imposition of taxes, the adoption of an annual budget and approval of certain procedures relative to imminent domain. A simple majority required for all other business, which is the existing law today. The compensation to the Board Members, other than the Chairman, is to reduce the per diem from \$200 per day to \$150 per day with a maximum per diem compensation of \$20,000 a year. The present maximum is \$25,000. With respect to the parking tax, the specific proposal is rather than attempting to limit, by definition, the existing applications of parking tax and taking into consideration the fact that the increases in price of motor fuel, the yield that will result from the imposition of the full 5% sales tax on motor fuel is \$13 million greater than it was at the time the compromise was entered into and the Legislation was passed and the fact that the parking tax itself would only yield ten million dollars a year, that we can completely abolish the RTA car to levy a parking tax and that is provided in the Bill in the condition that it's in. Still leaves the total dollars and the \$173 million, the compromise was at \$170 million, so there's a net gain, still, of \$3 million, so it does not adversely affect the matter financially. The return in benefit of tax revenues in this specific proposal is to mandate that 100% of the tax revenue is raised by the RTA from each area. It's now two-thirds. It must be spent for public transportation of services and/or facility totally within each set transportation area. On the sale of the RTA bonds, the specific proposal is to eliminate the existing provisions for the private sale



of RTA bonds and instead require the sale of RTA bonds by open public competitive bidding procedures, identical to those contained in the Illinois Transportation Bond Act and in the Illinois Capital Development Bond Act. If we remove completely the existing state pledge not to impair the ability of the RTA to retire its bonds, by either modifying the RTA Act or reducing the State Revenues to the RTA Act. The Use Tax on Motor Fuel, we ensure that the Use Tax on motor fuel can be levied only in those cases where no sales tax on motor fuel is selected. There is a freeze on fair increases and service cutbacks that would be in effect, pending the time that the purchase of service contract would be entered into, which would not be before July 1, 1975. It requires specifically that as a condition of receiving any financial grants prior to July 1, 1975 from the RTA, all transportation carriers must agree that they will not increase fares or reduce services, unless specifically approved in advance by the RTA Board. The public bidding requirements on RTA purchases, with Mr. Shea's Amendment, it specifically allows the RTA to make purchases involving a cost of more than \$5,000 without public bidding and notice only with respect to numerated exemptions, which exemptions are identical to those contained in the Illinois Purchasing Act, relative to Central Purchasing of the State of Illinois. The Federal and State grants, the local units, within the RTA, Mr. Deuster's Amendment, removes the existing RTA power to veto grant applications by other local units for Federal or State public transportation monies. On imminent domain, the specific proposal is to totally prohibit the RTA from condemning nature preserves, forest preserves, property by conservation districts, property use for public park purposes or property use for State forest purposes, and last, but certainly not least, the three specific



proposals that, in the first instance, requires an entry onto property of others by RTA employees, for purposes of inspection, must be made at reasonable time after giving reasonable notice. Extends from one to two years the time limitation on instituting an action against the RTA for wrongful death or injury and last, specifically prohibits the RTA from receiving grants to build free-ways such as the crosstown expressway, directly from the Federal government, without the approval of the Illinois Department of Transportation. Now, those are the specifics of this particular piece of legislation. Just let me sum up on the opening statement, by saying this; that there is no way in my judgement that the Chicago Transit Authority is going to be adversely affected financially by the passage of this Bill. What it will do, in my judgement, is go a long way toward delaying the fears of the people in the outlying counties of the six county region, specifically with respect to the guaranteed representation on the Board, and while an argument can be advanced, it might not be as functional, I suggest to you that there really isn't all that much difference between 9 and 21 Members. The abolishment of the parking tax, I think, will lay the fears and will not financially affect the Chicago Transit Authority and certainly the return of the 100% of the money raised locally will not adversely affect the meeting of the financial needs of the Chicago Transit Authority. It would be my suggestion that it is in the long-range best interest of those of you from the City of Chicago to support this Bill, because I think what you do, is to bring about a feeling of understanding on the part of the people that you represent in the City of Chicago, of the concerns and the fears of the people in the outlying areas. What I'm saying, I think, would be in your best self-interest for the long run to support the passage of this Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? None? Question is, shall House Bill..... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are here, back again, at a critical moment in the history of the General Assembly. We have been wrestling with the problem of Regional Transportation in the area covering 65% of the population of the State of Illinois, and I had really hoped, that I'm sure most of the Members of the House had hoped, that the matter had been layed to rest, that the assurances that had been given us by the Leaders, who drafted the proposal, was such that they were prepared to give RTA a chance to survive, that after all, it was their handiwork and they were recommending it and we supported it and their referendum took place with the results that we know, and I would say that we are back at a most critical moment and I would like to talk to you about why I believe that House Bill 2640 is a great mistake from the point of view of the General Assembly and the People of the six county area. First of all, one of the changes that it makes is a change in the structure of the board. Now, we have to face the reality that the nine Member Board is in operation. It has been appointed, it has an acting chair. It is carrying on the business of the RTA and what this Bill proposes to do is to now substitute a 21 Member Board. Now let me say that I am not opposed to the basic idea of representation and in fact was once in support of the Bill that provided for a 21 Member Board, but that Bill also created a smaller board to run the affairs of the RTA. Can you imagine, in the middle of all the furor and the chaos that has been created, if we now substitute a 21 Member Board. I will be going in different directions at the same time. But the problems of the RTA, the problems of the CTA, the problems of the transportation in the six county area, will



not sit back while the 21 Members try to debate and reach decisions. I do not believe that there was ever a 21 member board of anything that could operate efficiently in terms of an operational board, which this board is, and particularly with the background of acrimony, hostility, division that is created there, it will be up for grabs and this Bill, which, in the name of representation, supposedly is designed to provide an RTA, will be the vehicle for the destruction of it, for the tearing apart of this 21 member board, which will have all of these things thrown in their lap and which cannot, in my opinion, adequately deal with them. In the second place, I would like to point out to you that this Bill, in the form in which it reaches you here and reaches us, has an Amendment that has been added to it, added by my good friend and distinguished Legislator from Peoria, Representative Day, and I would like to say to you, that I've spent a good deal of time in the interval since we last met, and, as best I can figure, that Amendment is going to cost the State of Illinois and the RTA about \$124 million in extra bond payments. It is going to result in changing the rating of any bonds that the RTA issues and who is going to be paying for it and who will be benefiting. Well, the only one benefiting will be the bond holders. Instead of a lower rate of interest that a higher rating would bring with it, we will have to pay higher rates of interest with the consequent loss of State and RTA revenue to make up that. It is really, and I know it is not intended as that, that the practical effect of it is a \$124 million dollar bonanza for bondholders. It will serve no useful purpose. It will force us to pay higher rates of interest. Now, one of the things that we, in the Legislature, wanted to do was to let the RTA raise funds in their own area, and two major taxes were assigned. One was the gasoline



tax and the other was the parking tax, and what 2640 does is to cut out of the Act, one of the two major regional sources of funding. What does that mean, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to those of you who come from the 96 counties outside of the RTA area. That means that the area itself will not assume the responsibility of collecting with the parking tax, the money that was assigned to it and the other side of that coin is that you will be called upon to vote that money here in the General Assembly so that an increasingly high proportion of the money that will be required to operate will come from the State rather than from regional sources and even though I am from the region, I do not believe that a Regional Transportation Authority should operate so completely with State support and finance. I believe that we in the area should contribute significantly to our own transportation system and I really see no justification at all, less than a year after we have passed a law to remove one of the two major taxes that were assigned for fund raising within the area and, hence, this to me, is a major reason why this Bill is a give-away Bill. It gives away RTA money to bondholders. It gives away parking tax money that was supposed to have been used to finance RTA and as far as I can see, the only advantage to the Bill is a political advantage and not an advantage with reference to improving transportation within the area. Now, having said that, I would like to point out to you that you will have an opportunity later to vote on another Bill that tries to constructively deal with some of the objections that have been raised to the RTA Bill, but as I view the situation, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what is involved here today is not a process for improvement, but a process for burial. It is a process under which the RTA is going to be up for grabs, a new large Board, without any ties together,



having to raise additional State money for bondholders, to make up for parking taxes that are being deleted and as far as the fact that there are not opt out provisions in this Bill, it is not due to the Sponsor's intention that there is no provision and if this Bill comes out of the House, the Sponsor will be in control of the Bill and being committed as he is to the principal of opt out, which the majority of this House has rejected over and over again, there will then be the opportunity to wheel and deal across the hall on the question of opt out, which is a matter that would truly make this a burial Bill. Accordingly, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge you to take care of the RTA, which you created a short time ago. Do not sent it back to the General Assembly, feebly unable to support itself, because all of us had hoped we would create a live and viable transportation authority in the region. I believe that the Bill that was unanimously supported by the leaders of this Legislature, unanimously supported by the Governor of this State, that did manage, in spite of everything to get a majority vote within the area, deserves not to be scuttled at this early hour and I would urge you to act constructively in the course of the consideration of other Bills, but not destructively by passing this Bill, which in my view, would have most unfortunate consequences, not only to the people in my particular district, but the people of the entire six county are and the people in the State of Illinois as well."

Speaker Telcser: "Tentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on March 19, 1974, a near majority of the voters in the Northeastern Illinois Region, expressed their objections to the RTA in its present form. House Bill 2640, now under consideration, is an attempt to satisfy concerns and



reservations about the RTA. Unfortunately, in its original and present form, it's debilitating and insufficient, better that it not be passed. There are many deficiencies in the present RTA. Some of the major ones are discrimination against suburban bus companies, lack of control over the RTA planning and budgeting activities, inadequate representation of areas, unlimited, undefined parking tax authority, private sale of bonds and the State's pledge not to impair bond holders interest. House Bill 2640 constructively copes with only one of these deficiencies; that is, representation, but in the process it continues the insufficiency of Cook County suburban representation. As to the other principal deficiencies, with which House Bill 2640 attempts to deal, it's surgical approach is just too radical. In fact, under 2640, RTA would become a parapalegic. In my opinion, where I had hoped that House Bill 2640 would be the vehicle for constructive, reasonable modification of RTA, formally Senate Bill 27, I view this Bill in its present form as being worse than nothing and as a consequence would urge your defeat of it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Gentle Ladies and Gentle Men of this House, the President of the United States.... there's a momentary loss of sound..... The President of the United States observed that one year of Watergate is enough. I'm sure there are many Members in this House from all parts of the State of Illinois who think one year of RTA is enough. Most of us, who have addressed ourselves to this complicated, political and transportation subject, are sorry that it has taken so long. However, when you're seeking to arrive at principles and a proper objective, you don't care who's sponsoring a Bill. You have no pride of authorship. You just know what's

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



right and you'll work for it, and if some of you Members will remember Saturday morning in December, we were over in another building, some of us and I was one who took this Floor and I'm sorry that this Bill is so rotten. You're going to have red hot Representatives running all over the six counties, urging its defeat, and that's exactly what happened in this Bill. This proposal as it is now was only passed in one place and I'm sorry about that, too, but that was the City of Chicago. It was rejected everywhere else, and I'm reminded that some feel that's questionable and it is being litigated. The reason that I spent a whole year sponsoring one Bill that was co-sponsored by Representative Dyer and Representative Dunn, because there's a certain principle, that if you're going to put taxes on people in a six-county area and put together a six-county system, all of those counties deserve representation. All of us are represented on the Floor of this House and we've go to vote. You have another Bill coming along a little later that is the silliest sop being attempted to throw into the five outlying counties that I can think of. There's a Bill coming along later to which reference has been made that tells us that we can go up in the Gallery and sit and watch RTA. The idea the distinguished Gentleman from Cook County, who's sincere, but misguided, would think that what we really want is to sit in the Gallery and watch RTA. We want to voice on the Board and the Speaker's Bill addresses itself to that desire. The subject, the question was made is a 21 man board too large. It's not too large for Denver, Colorado. They've got a 21 Member Board and there's a little county, Douglas, with 5,000 people, a third the size of McHenry, and they thought enough of those Colorado taxpayers to give them a voice on the Board, so this Bill gives



everyone a voice on the Board and I have in my hand a statement, I think I might say this for the record, that there were probably two Members of this House, that had primary battles and who were involved actively in the RTA. The Speaker of the House was one, I was another. I explained to the people of Lake County and this 60,000 copies of this that there were two new taxes. One of those taxes was unlimited. The Speaker's Bill puts a limit on it and so whether you live in Chicago or Kane County or anywhere in this area, this November you're going to be talking to the voters, and if lyou go by in the supermarket to buy a loaf of bread, they're going to say, 'what we want you to do is no more taxes', and you're going to have to explain, 'well, I gave you two more taxes, the parking tax and the gas tax'. At least, at least under the Speaker's Bill, when that taxpayer confronts you, whether you're in Chicago or Harvard or Waukegan, you can say 'yes, we've slapped a new tax on you, a gas tax. But it's limited and under this Bill, not some of the money is going to be spent in your area, but all of it.' Really what the RTA debate has really revolved down to is the question of are you going to have a voice or control, are you going to get your money's worth and this Bill, sponsored by the Speaker, gives you both of those things, whether you live in Chicago or anywhere. That guarantee of 100 percent means, if you live in Chicago and you're paying the gas tax, and think of the thousands of people who are running their automobiles in Chicago and paying that tax, 100 percent of the money is going to be spent there and five years from now, you're going to be awful glad that you got this 100 percent guarantee. I think it is true that one year of Watergate maybe is enough. One year of RTA is enough, but I'll tell you this, unless you give us, in the six-county area, a voice on the Board and a guarantee that



our money is not going to be run off somewhere else, you're going to suffer through another year of this. You're going to suffer ten years of this, because the people feel very strongly about it and all we ask is a little decency and fair play. We've not changed the ratio of the Board. There's nothing changing the political control. All we ask mainly is representation and if you deny it, you're asking for another ten years of RTA. I urge, not in the interest of private authorship, but in the interest in bringing this to a conclusion and sending to Governor Walker's desk one Bill that will solve the problem, that you put your green light up when the time comes and support this good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to introduce to this Body a group of distinguished students from the Willow Crest School in Moneka, Illinois, the District of the Speaker of this House, Representative Blair, Representative Sangmeister, who is with the students and Representative Leinenweber in the rear Gallery; will the students please stand."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure that we all remember very well last March when we had prolonged debates on the RTA legislation. I remember at that time that we were told that, well let's vote for the Bill. Let's get it out, because we can always amend it later to correct any deficiencies there might be and during the RTA referendum in the six-county area, which followed that, many of the newspapers that were in favor of the referendum and were supporting it openly, assured the people that the Legislature was going to meet again this spring and that any deficiencies in the Bill would be corrected. In other words, the impli-



cation was made that we're going to come down here and review the whole thing and try to settle the differences so that we can stand as a united Legislature in supporting a Bill to attack this very serious problem in the Metropolitan area. Now, certainly, the fact that the present Bill, which is under consideration, provides for a twenty-one Member Board, is not at all unreasonable, nor is it unusual. Keep in mind that this is going to be the second largest government in the State of Illinois. It will encompass some 65% of the population in the State of Illinois and a twenty-one Member Board is not unduly large for an operation of that size. Reference was made to the Amendment, which was sponsored by myself and some of the downstate Legislators regarding the elimination of the State pledge to the bondholders as specified in the original Bill. I would call your attention to the fact that the RTA will have some \$73 million, of its own sources, which can be used and can be pledged by the RTA as security for the \$500 million in bonds, which they are authorized to issue and certainly \$73 million in tax revenue should be ample to support those bonds. Those of you who have talked to bond counsel, who have talked to bond salesmen, of course, they are going to tell you that the best security and the absolute essential security that must be held by the bond holders is a mortgage on the Capitol dome. Well, if I were a bond holder, I would want the same kind of security, but I say to you that the Amendment is a good Amendment and it's good because it is not good policy for the State of Illinois to pledge its right to regulate the financial problems of this State by earmarking 3/32nds of the sales tax, at the discretion of an appointed Board. Actually, House Bill 2640 does not make any real serious substantial changes in the original RTA Bill, as you recall, that a big issue, the big hot issue that was bothering those



in the counties was the right of those counties to opt out of the Bill and that was the thing that most of those People were interested in. They've given that up. They are willing to support a Bill that does not insist on that and that, I think, represents a really great concession on the part of Representative Deuster and the others in that area. The Amendments to this Bill and the Bill itself do not scuttle the RTA Bill. Substantially, the Bill is the same. We're simply in a position where we have a concensus; where we have come back here, as was promised last March. We have come back all factions have had an opportunity to be heard. All Amendments that were offered were considered carefully and we are in a position where we certainly are coming up with a much stronger concensus, a much stronger Bill that all Members of this General Assembly can support if this Bill is adopted in its present shape and I urge your support for the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the 21 Member Board, in representation, there are 102 counties in this State and not everyone of them is represented by a Legislator from that County in this General Assembly and yet those Legislators do a good job in representing all of the counties in their district. How many towns are.... do not have a Legislator living in them, but are well represented in the General Assembly? The Acting Chairman of the RTA Board, the former Republican County Chairman from Riverside, or Township Committeeman, I've talked to about it, and he said that a 21 Member Board would be nothing but a Legislative Body, a small Legislative Body and would impair terrifically the working of the RTA Board. I just think that this is a bad provision. The Day Amendment will cost the People another \$120 million that will go to the big bond houses."



Money that won't go to transportation, but go to bond houses. There are Bills that will make meaningful Amendments to RTA. Our Commitment to the People to make meaningful Amendments, but this Bill does much to emasculate any transportation plan in the six county area and I would hope that it would not gain enough votes to pass."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of House Bill 2640 and would like to address myself specifically to those areas of concern expressed by our colleague on the other side of the aisle, regarding the so-called 'Day Amendment'. It is my concern, Ladies and Gentlemen, that I would presume that approximately 177 Ladies and Gentlemen will return to this General Assembly sometime next January. It may not be all the same faces, but as God in his Wisdom has created us, I'm sure they will have judgement on their side as well as we have. Therefore, Ladies and Gentlemen and Mr. Speaker, I plead with you that the Bond Issue situation is not a legitimate cause for complaints against this Bill. There will not even be a bond printed by next January, knowing the court cases that are now out on the RTA. There is no way they could plan to spend that much money in six months if they had lead time, much more than they have so far, so I submit to you, that for heaven sake, don't let a figure of \$500 million worth of bonds being sold at less than triple A, be a cause for concern. Let the Bond Community talk about that for six months, while the People still have a chance, the People still have a chance to be heard and get a viable RTA which can very well be remedied should the economics of the Bond market indicate it so. I'm sure there isn't a Member of this House, in this



Assembly or the next Assembly that wouldn't respond to a negative treatment of those bonds and therefore I do urge a green vote on House Bill 2640. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was rather appalled to hear earlier by my distinguished colleagues stating on the other side, that he didn't think...21 member board was good. Inasmuch as there was a Cosponsor of the bipartisan Bill that he had earlier introduced in the House several months ago. On the basis that we would have representation for the very counties effected. It is nice of my other colleague to say ...well we don't have representation from every county in the...in the State. Very true, but the other counties are not effected as much and as hard as my County of Lake and the other five surrounding counties are involved. It seems to me that it is high time that we take in consideration what the needs of our people in their respective counties are. When this atrocious Senate Bill 27 was passed over my strenuous objection, last March, after it was passed in all the debates, everyone who debated for it said 'we know it's a bad Bill, but we'll let you put Amendments on it when we go back in Session'. We come back with an Amendment, House Bill 2640, which tries to give representation to each county involved, which tries to give ...to eliminate the miserable parking tax, which tries to have money raised for taxes, RTA taxes in respective counties, (unintelligible) the transportation within it, which tries to have...ah.. elimination of deprivation of privacy by letting any RTA employee walk indiscriminately on your grounds, which tries to allow the avoidance of condemnation of public parks and park district property, which tries to eliminate



a lot of authority that it shouldn't of had to begin with, the original RTA Board....and which also has the Amendment which will not prevent the Legislature from changing the RTA Law ...and that was the Day Amendment... and we find that we have strenuous opposition. Now, who was telling the truth during the debates, we who said the Bill was bad, because it needed these Amendments or those who said we know the Bill is bad, we'll let you put the Amendments ...but now when we come to the floor of it, they won't allow the Amendments. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, bear with me, in my county there is already a lawsuit on Senate Bill 27, which was passed March 19. And, the fact that the Board has been selected makes no difference...we can still improve on it with House Bill 2640. I submit to you, that even though I wanted an opt out referendum, since this is the only Bill that will try and save the taxpayers some money, and I think it's about time we're all concerned about that, in all of the counties. I submit to you, the only thing we can do is support wholeheartedly House Bill 2640. We owe it to the taxpayers of those six counties as well as to the City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair to close the debate."

Blair: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just let me say this, that it appalls me sometimes when I hear arguments advanced on the Floor, that....are in opposition to positions that have been taken...But I guess that that sometimes gets all of us....across the board....into that situation. But, there have been events that have occurred ...since the adoption of this Legislation back in November and December and anybody that wants to bury their head in the sand and not be realistic about those, I don't think deserves to be called a Representative, because when your people speak I think you ought to be willing to respond. Now,



the people in the outlying areas voted against this by 85 or 90 percent. In my own particular district it was 87 ...In my own particulardistrict was 87 percent negative. Now, its passing strange to me that all the Chicago daily newspapers editorially said during the referendum campaign...the important thing is to pass RTA. If we need to amend it, certainly that can be handled by the General Assembly when it comes back into Session. Now, the Chicago editorialist, it seems to me, has switched their position, something which they are very critical about people doing...in the representative business...and they say 'don't....don't dare amend the RTA, heaven sakes no don't do that', well I suggest to you that if anybody's credibility ought to be in question, it ought to be those fellows in the ivory towers, in the editorial rooms of the Chicago daily newspapers. I wish they'd come down out of those ivory towers and get out with the people...because they'll find out they are not in tune with how they feel about this thing in those outlying areas. It's fine for the Gentleman from Cook, that where the issue passed in his area, to get up and pontificate about not giving a darn about representation out in the outlying areas. But, I'd tell you, you'd never stand a chance of being elected out there with that kind of talk. It might be fine for your district but it's not fine for mine. I'm telling you something else, there's no way the representative on that board from Lake County and the Representative on the Board from Kane County are going to be able to handle the problems of the people concerning public transportation in DuPage County, in Will County and in McHenry County. There is just no way that that's going to be possible. And, so, what we're asking for is a simple increase of the numbers on the Board. And, I'm amazed by the Gentleman from Cook County who represents



the City interests, saying that he didn't think that the Board ought to be expanded either. I think that suburban Cook County ought to have greater representation. Let me talk about, for a moment, the 100 percent return of money. All the Chicago Transit Authority needs under the study that was made and the cost, financial figures, that were utilized, in the RTA compromise budget, was 111 million dollars. 111 million dollars. Under House Bill 2640 the way it is, there's available for the CTA 126.9 million dollars. That's 15 million more dollars than the CTA actually needs. So, there's no way it's going to be financially affected. Furthermore, the 80 million dollars from the State General Revenue Fund, the 16 million dollars from the State Road Fund, the 5 million dollars from the City of Chicago Corporate Fund is 101 million dollars is available for the RTA Board to give 100 percent if they want to....to the Chicago Transit Authority. So, there is no jeopardy for this 100 percent earmarking of that money going back to the areas from where it is raised. Now, I've heard a lot, a lot of devious arguments in my time here in the General Assembly but no more devious one than the reason why you shouldn't support this Bill is that if it gets out of here and over into the Senate, the Sponsor of it is going to be; number one, a wheeler and a dealer over in the Senate and bring back dissent.....Well, I'll tell you this, if that were possible, I would do it, but I've never heard of that situation being raised as an argument as to what might happen to a Bill in the Senate as to why....as to how you should vote on it here in the House. I don't know why you should run scared of being able to vote on this Bill here in the House, as to what might happen to it over in the Senate. I really and truly think that the arguments that were advanced that this Bill goes too far are a bunch of 'hogwash'.



All we're really doing is increasing the representation. What's wrong with giving the people more representation? Now, I ask you, what's wrong? What's wrong with giving the people tax relief? The people in suburban Cook County would like some tax relief. The people in the City of Chicago would like some tax relief. And, the people in the outlying five counties would like some tax relief. And, when the Gentleman says, 'you can't take away one of the two major taxes', malarkey. The 5 percent sales tax on Motor Fuel is 60 million dollars. The parking tax is 10 million. How can you compare those, they're apples and bananas? You can certainly give the people 10 million dollars worth of tax relief, can't you? Those are basically the substantive part of this Bill. The rest of the things, all of you who are up arguing against RTA, and the referendum, this Bill answers every one of your criticisms. Now, were you being in good faith when you were campaigning against RTA? Or, are you being in good faith now? I'd suggest each of you search your conscience on this vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2640 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'yes' vote I want to point out that I am being consistent with the position I took in the debate all before the referendum, on March 19. I kept saying, 'correct these three or four inequities in this Bill. Send us back to the drawing board in Springfield, to draw a better RTA Bill andone that we can all live withand you'll find me supporting it'. That's exactly what has happened in this Bill. By increasing the representation on the board, my own County, DuPage might now have and would now have a spokesman..a 'spokes-person' on



that....on that executive board. My honorable opponent, Representative Shea, over there, said that 21 persons on a board would be too many. Well, I figured it out mathematically. These 21 people would be representing 7 million people in the metropolitan area. That's 333,333 people that each one of those Representatives is representing. It takes three of us down here to represent just 170,000. You need a board as large as 21 members.....to do the job of policymaking and decision making and budget adoption and setting up priorities that this board is going to do. If the nine people on that board presently set priorities, DuPage County is going to be left out. There's not going to be a viable, coordinated, balanced transit system. Talk about wheeling and dealing, I would loved to have been in the room with those five county chairmen when they chose the two representatives from the five collar counties. I think Will Rogers, in all of his 'horse trading' days, couldn't have done any better than was done in that smoke filled room. I say, this Bill makes four important corrections. Please think twice now and put a green light on this Board for the sake of the millions of people living in those five colar counties. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I certainly am not ...that satisfied about this piece of Legislation. As all of you realize, I wanted the opt out idea in a piece of Legislation that did go through here. But, it seems to me that those people that are voting 'no', certainly could cast a vote 'aye', for this piece of ^{legislation.} It doesn't give us all that we want in the collar counties, but it does give us some representation. There are good parts of the Bill. I never thought I would really vote for an RTA Bill. But, this makes the RTA package much...



better than we are existing under the present RTA ... law. It seems to me that anyone of you, whether you are from downstate or from Cook County, or from Chicago, certainly could go along with this piece of Legislation. It certainly would benefit those people that were so vitally opposed to RTA and the collar counties. And, it seems to me that a proper vote on this piece of Legislation would be an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, coming from one of the collar counties, when House Bill 2640 was introduced I waited with great ... anxiety for the ground swell of support for the Speakers Bill to come out from my district. And, there are many good things in this Bill, there are many improvements on the RTA in this Bill. The condemnation over public park-lands is removed....that power....Representation may be slightly improved. While I was waiting for that ground-swell of support to come, and let me tell you, I haven't found any, not one letter in support of this Bill. Are people against the parking tax? No. They wanted a parking tax better defined. They want it defined as being limited to commercial parking garages and parking lots for the people that drove by the train... station in my town to drive their car into the loop, and park their car in the loop....and not take the public transportation, would help to pay for maintaining public transportation. They didn't want the parking tax eliminated, they just wanted to make sure it didn't ...it didn't apply, as Representative Deuster told 'em it would, to their driveway, to their church parking lot to their shopping center, and to the Street in front of their house. And, they were quite concerned and worried about that and I think



Representative Katz's Bill defines the Parking Tax so we make sure it doesn't apply to the church parking lot, the driveway, the street in front of the house. As to representation, ...I wish that Lake County would be represented on the RTA because I thought our County Board was quite powerful. The County Board Chairman was quite powerful, he gets more jobs out of this Democratic administration than we do.. and, on this side of the aisle. And, ...ah...he was successful, he did put... he did put a man...he did put a man on the RTA Board. Not that I feel any better represented because a Republican from Waukegan happens to be on the RTA Board. I think Joe Tex, from the West Suburbs, might do a better job for me than....than some....ah...Waukegan Republican that doesn't give a darn about Highland Park. But, be that as it may, the Waukegan Republicans have their representative on the Board and they should be happy, very happy. I don't....I don't see any demand...any demand for this Bill. Those who are against the RTA will be stuck with it....with this Bill. Those who want it improved have a bond Amendment on this Bill that really....that really hurts the RTA and will cause higher borrowing costs and eventually more burden on the RTA. Let's remember this and this is always forgotten in the debates. Where does the largest fund come from for supporting the RTA? The largest fund comes from the riders of the RTA, the fares that they pay. This is very rarely pointed out. They point out a burden on the driver, but they never point out the burden on the riders. The riders of the RTA will be contributing, those commuters who ride it, the biggest lump of money, sum of money, for the RTA. I'm going to oppose this Bill now. And, I want to give the Speaker some credit, which the newspapers haven't, for improving the RTA. His Bill does, in many ways, improve it. Over-



all, he destroys the parking Tax, which in my opinion, properly defined is a good way to raise money for the RTA. And, secondly, the Bond Amendment, which he spoke against on the Floor but allowed to go on the Bill, makes the RTA no longer available, in my opinion, and being a supporter of the Regional Transit concept, I cannot vote for the Bill at this time. And, neither can I vote 'present', I have to let the voters know where....I feel and I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As everyone on this Floor knows, all during the campaign, I spoke in opposition to the present proposal, SB-27. I spoke because there were many inequities in this Bill, and the people who show their concern and came to the meetings and asked questions expressed to me these concerns and imparted to me their wishes thatif that at any point...we had the opportunity to improve this and remove these objections that they could tolerate the rest. I think this is an opportunity an opportunity to go back to these people and in good faith, say at least we've done the best we can with the situation that may at this point still be, not palatable to some people to some people, but we have come down and we have worked, point by point, on some of these areas that were very objectionable in the original Bill. I think this is probably the first time that I have ever risen on the RTA proposition, and say that it may not be perfect, Gentlemen, but I think that our way of looking at it, it's the best proposition that we can put together and I wholeheartedly support this and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative



Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that there is a slight bit of perhaps, misinformation, that has been...that has been circulated today. And, it's about the Bonds. A funny thing about these Bonds, we got an interest rate of 8 percent. And, if we have a Triple A Bond, our information tells us we're going to be able to sell them for under 7 percent. So, it means to me that the drafters of this Bill never intended these Bonds to be sold for the Triple A rate. Now, I'm extremely confused. If it really doesn't cost any more than 6 and a quarter to 6 and a half percent to sell these bonds, we certainly ought to be lowering the maximum interest rate. Or, is it possible, is it possible...that through a private sale, we were really going to sell them at 8 percent...and rip-off the people anyway? I think the passage of this Bill is essential and for that reason I'm voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems abundantly clear, from the score on the wall, that there aren't enough people in this room that give a 'tinker's damn' for what their constituents believe in, to ever pass this good Bill. But, the time will come...the time will come when you realize that in your obstinate refusal to accept the will of the people in your constituency, you have rendered a disservice to all of those who believe in RTA and recognize that there is a need for a viable system in your particular area. Because you can never have an RTA with the present situation when it's so evenly balanced, where 50 percent are for and 50 percent are against. If you are for an RTA, the thing for you to do now is to



cast a green ballot. I thought I would never see the day, as a prior speaker has noted, where I'd be voting for an RTA, but I'm voting for this RTA because I am persuaded that it is the best effort that has been suggested, the best solution that is possible at this time to correct an impossible situation. And, I want to publicly...express on behalf of all of the Members of the 54th District, my appreciation to the Speaker of this House, for the courage that he's shown, in publicly confessing error, and in publicly attempting to respond to the wishes of the people, that's what democracy is all about, and he is entitled to the credit and thanks of all of us who believe in the process. Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the 54th District, I say publicly, thank you for your effort, you've done your very best to take the monkey off of our back, and we appreciate your effort. The rest of you should vote green."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is kind of a situation where those of us in representing counties that both in and out of the RTA...as is our District, I think it's a kind of a 'damned if you do' and 'damned if you don't' Bill. To begin with, we can't go back and change the horrible compromise that was made in the smoke filled room. We can't have the opt out, which we should of had, when we were forced into a new form of government. But, I think with this one, at least it improves a bad situation. And, Mr. Speaker, I think that you've pushed the wrong button up there."

Speaker Telcser: "You mean, I am as a Member or I am for the Speaker? Ha...Ha...Ha...Have all voted who wish? Ha.... Take the record. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 70 'nays', 11 answering 'present'. The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."



Blair: "All right, will we poll the absentees, please, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. Okay, the Gentleman has requested a pole of the absentees. As soon as the machine kicks out the Roll Call we'll get to the absentees...."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt, Boyle, Carter, Dee, DiPrima, Ewell, Farley, Giglio, Jimmy Holloway, Keller, Kennedy, Klosak, Krause, Madigan, Mann, Martin, McClain, McGah Pappas, Schraeder, Sevcik, Ike Sims, Stedelin, J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 38, I would ask that this..... House Bill 2640 be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay.....House Bill 2640 will be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration. The Gentleman has that right. Okay. House Bill 2128. House Bill 2128."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2128, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. Do you want this out of the record?..... Out of the record. He doesn't want that called. House Bill 2129. Don't want it called? Out of the record. House Bill 2132. Do you want that called, Representative Deuster? Out of the record. 2133. No one.....wants.... 2244, Representative Katz? 2244? House Bill 2244."

Clerk Selcke: "2244? Ready Mr?...House Bill 2244, Katz, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Did you distribute the Amendments? Mr. Speaker, I



would like leave to return 2244 to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Representative Deuster has objected, Sir. All right, the Gentleman has asked leave to take House Bill 2244 from the order of Third Reading back to the order of Second, for the purposes of an Amendment. Now, objections have been raised."

Katz: "All right, I would put the question. Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House and if not leave, a vote of the House to accord the courtesy that is ordinarily accorded a Sponsor, to put Amendments on, particularly these Amendments are favorable to Mr. Deuster, if he will read them....And, I would like leave to do so, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a minute. Representative Deuster, are you seeking recognition, Sir? No?"

Deuster: "I was simply renewing my objection."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman now moves to take House Bill 2244 from the order of Third Reading, placed on the Order of Second, for the purposes of an Amendment. This question will take 89 votes. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This is the motion, the Gentleman has moved to take House Bill 2244 from the order of Third, back to the order of Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Okay, is everybody on the Roll Call that wishes to be put on? All right, Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "A Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. How many votes are....needed on this question?"

Speaker Telcser: "89, Sir."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "By the way, the Clerk informs me that we are having some problems with Members voting their seatmates switches.....when in fact they are absent..."



This causes all kinds of problems for the Clerk's office, and for Members by the way, who suddenly discover someone back home questioning their voting record, in one way or another. Or, it could cause even perhaps a tax problem on your per diem. So.... try and refrain. All right, have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 105 'ayes', 7 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. No request for verification, the Gentleman's motion prevails. House Bill 2244 is on the order of Second Reading, will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #10, is that the correct one, Mr. Katz?"

Katz: "Correct, but I'm going to withdraw Amendment #10 and proceed to Amendment #11.....Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #10 to House Bill 2244, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #11, Sangmeister et al, amends House Bill 2244....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe that Amendment #11 has just recently been distributed to you. If you'll look at it you'll find that there is a very simple Amendment to Mr. Katz's Bill and that is we are requiring that 100 percent of the funds that are raised in our counties, in our areas, be returned to that Transportation District. Now, this has been argued on the Floor before....."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment now....One minute...Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I don't seem to have a copy of Amendment #11. Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Just this minute, Bob. Maybe yours hasn't



....the pages are right here.....They're distributing them now. Want to proceed, Representative Sangmeister?"

Sangmeister: "I'm asking all of you who have joined with me and I joined with you over these many...many months of arguing and debating this issue, and all of you know in your own outlying areas that your constituents were very concerned that the tax money that they were going to put forth was going to be able to be used in the district. These are for the people who believe in the concept of RTA, but as we all know, under the present Bill are not going to get the benefits of it. This 100 percent provision is not all that I would like it to be either, I would like that word 'within' to be there rather than that word 'to'. But, let's take this 100 percent and let's hope that we'll have a decent RTA Board that's going to return this money to our areas and can be used for Mass Transportation in that district. I have the understanding that I'm going to get some support on this and I ask those who have been with me before that....don't use your strong feelings. I am still for the opt out as you all are. I don't want to give up on the recount. I don't want to give up on the Constitutionality of this, which we are going to file. We're going to continue our fight all the way down to the line. But, for heaven sakes, let's not lose our better judgment. Let's take a crumb if we can get a crumb, and that's what this is. So, let's all get behind this Amendment and support it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Nope...? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, especially Mr. Sponsor. I will yield to your ...to your request, but I don't want anyone in the outlying areas to think this is a meaningful Amendment,



because it is not. At the present time all of you have seen articles about the Lake Geneva run of the Chicago Northwestern Railroad and how bicyclists can beat the Chicago Northwestern Railroad between Lake Geneva and McHenry and how even cows can beat them, except when fences get in the way. The estimate is that it will take \$300,000 to upgrade that track. Now, if the RTA decides to come in, and spend \$300,000 upgrading that track, which will do no good to any but about 42 people who live in McHenry County, but will do a lot of good for the millionaires who live in Lake Geneva who want to commute down to Chicago. I shall be very much disturbed and I hope this Amendment will not lead the RTA Board to....such a conclusion."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion. The Gentleman wish to close? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #11 to House Bill 2244. AllDo you wish to close, Representative"

Sangmeister: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Just briefly, I'd like to respond to Representative Skinner, who I know has fought this fight as well. I share the same concerns you do, Representative Skinner. But, I still say that it's better that we take what we can get at this point and continue to fight. So, we've got absolutely nothing to lose by accepting this Amendment. And, I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #11 to House Bill 2244. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes'. Porter 'aye'. Stiehl 'aye'. Why don't you come up here. Jack will put you



all on. Jack Hill 'aye'.

Clerk Selcke: "Hill 'aye'. Harpstrite 'aye'. Porter 'aye'.

Speaker Telcser: "Why don't you come up here to the Clerk's office, he'll put you all on. We'll save time. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 10 'nays', and the Amendment #11 to House Bill 2244 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #12, R. L. Dunne, amends House Bill 2244 as Amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "...man from Cook, Representative Bob Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah...we've had much discussion over the reference to bonding in the various RTA Bills that have been submitted and I specifically asked to be Sponsor of this Amendment because I feel I erred the other day and did damage to Representative Katz's Bill by supporting the Day Amendment. And, I'll tell you briefly why. I have the highest regard, as so many Members do, for Representative Day, but, since that day I've had an opportunity toconsult at length with both Bond Council and Bond Underwriters who I don't think really are looking were.....advising me just in their best interest but in the long-range best interest of the RTA. Experienced Gentlemen both here and up in Chicago and in New York, who haveworked extensively with the bonding provisions of Transportation Authorities throughout this country. And, I'm concerned if we don't put the type of wording that we have here in Amendment #12, which is somewhat similar to the RTA Act itself, if we don't we will have bondsand I might add at this point..... I think it's ridiculous for those to argue that we are not going to need to issue bonds. The RTA is going to function properly over a long range and is going to have to issue bonds. This is a form of borrowing, this is a form of raising capital, it is recognized...ah...



throughout the country and in other authorities here in Illinois. We are going to have to do bonding so let's be realistic about it. I'm convinced that as the Bill now stands, without this Amendment, we would have bonds that probably might not be marketable at all and at best would have a B-AA rating, which would cost the taxpayers over 30 years, \$120,000,000. That essentially is the argument and I think we erred the other day. I'm not saying at this point I'm going to support the Bill, but I think we at least should put these bonding provisions back in and I ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He states that he will."

Epton: "Representative Dunne, this Amendment which you indicate has such great merit, how come you failed to place this Amendment on the Speaker's Bill, which was up, just shortly before?"

Dunne: "If you'll.....check with the Speaker.....I asked the Speaker if he would take his Bill back to the Second Reading and I would offer the Amendment and he declined to do so."

Epton: "I see. So, then as far as you're concerned...."

Dunne: "I'd be delighted to put it on the other Bill."

Epton: "And then, if it came back....on the Speaker's Bill, would you vote for that Bill?"

Dunne: "I'm not sure.....I'm not sure I'd vote for either one of these Bills. But I think this is essential wording. It certainly would make it much more favorable.....as far as I'm concerned."

Epton: "In other words, as we stand now, if weif we accept this Amendment by you, you still don't know whether this Bill is a good Bill or not?"



Dunne: "Well, there's other provisions of the Bill that I'm not certain that I agree with. I want to listen to the debate on the Bill when it gets to Third Reading."

Epton: "You mean we haven't had enough debate on this Bill and you haven't made up your mind yet?"

Dunne: "I don't know what.....where you're trying to lead me with this questioning...Representative Epton..."

Epton: "No....very simply, Representative Dunne, I don't want to have an exercise....My purpose is.....No.....very simply, Representative Dunne....I'm asking you.... I don't interrupt you.....we can both sing together but we both can't speak together. A very simple question, I really don't want an exercise in futility. If you're putting this Amendment up to make a Bill a little more problematical, then there's not much value to me. If you're putting this Bill up because you think it'll make Representative Katz's Bill a better Bill, so you can vote for it, it's important. Your thoughts mean a lot to me...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Patrick.....Representative Patrick, does the Gentleman seek recognition? His light was on. All right the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, first I'd like to make a point of Parliamentary Inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Juckett: "The way that Amendment #12 is worded, it does not show us any part of the Bill that is being struck. I'm wondering whether the Amendment is in proper order. Because it does not show what they are taking out, it only shows what they are putting in....."

Speaker Telcser: ".....Okay, the Amendment seems to be in order. Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"



Day: "On a Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I would call your attention to the fact that this Amendment would restore language that was stricken by Amendment #7 previously adopted by this House. I would further mention that the Journal will indicate that after Amendment #7 was adopted, a motion to reconsider was madewhich was Tabled. And, the Tabling motion was successful. So, what we're doing here, is in effect, indirectly, by subterfuge, reconsidering the Amendment, previously adopted, which was Amendment #7."

Speaker Telcser: "Well.....as a practical matter, Representative Day, you might be right, I don't think that the Chair is in order toah....go behind the record in effect and try and determine subterfuge or not. The Gentleman was successful in having his Bill brought back to Second.....Someone has offered Amendment #11, the substance of Amendment #11I don't think isgermane, really....Representative Day."

Day: "Well, the substance of this Amendment is that it drastically changes Amendment #7."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you're right. It....in effect..... nullifies Amendment #7."

Day: "That's right. And, a motion was made to reconsider the vote on Amendment #7, followed by a motion to Table, which was passed."

Speaker Telcser: "And, yet....as I just indicated, I don't think I'm in order to go behind the record. We're dealing with Amendment #12....with whatever the substance may be."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not asking you to go behind the record. I'm asking you to go to the record. To the Journal.... and.....ah....clearly it doesn't help to file an Amendment and get it passed.....ah....to have a motion to



reconsider and to have a successful Tabling of that Motion and then two days later...ah...have another Amendment....ah...that nullifies the previous Amendment...that the House has already passed on. There would be no end to the Legislative process if...if that were the Rule."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the point you make is interesting and the Chair concurred with the results which you...ah...point out as a result of the Chair's ruling, but the Chair feels compelled to rule that Amendment #12 has been properly offered and that the Chair cannot go beyond the Amendment number as it were. The fight on this question should have been, when the Gentleman sought to have his Bill taken back to Second from Third in order to have an Amendment adopted. Now, the Gentleman succeeded in receiving over a Constitutional Majority so clearly a majority of Members of the House felt that.....Amendment should be offered and this is simply an Amendment. Representative Hirschfeld?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I respectfully dissent from the Ruling of the Chair, for a number of reasons. First of all, the Chair is in error, I respectfully represent, when it says that the Bill was taken back to Second Reading for the purpose of this Amendment. The Bill was taken back to Second Reading for the purpose of earlier Amendments, of which this happens to be one in a sequence, and I might point out to the Chair that, at the time the Bill was taken back, these Amendments had not been distributed to the Members. We had no idea of what the Amendments were that were going to be considered at that time. Secondly, I might point out to the Chair, that Rule 64-B is quite specific....if it says that a motion to reconsider is made pursuant to Subsection A, and the



Motion is Tabled, the question shall not be further reconsidered. Now, it leaves an opening for the distinguished Gentleman, Representative Dunne, if he wants to try and take advantage of it. It said 'the Sub-section may be suspended if he cannot obtain an affirmative vote of 107 Members', and I would suggest respectfully to the Chair, that if Representative Dunne wants to consider this Amendment, and...and bypass this Rule he must sustain the affirmative vote of 107 Members, otherwise Rule 64-B controls."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you want the dissent Journalized, Representative Hirschfeld? Or, are you...."

Hirschfeld: "I would like a Ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the point which you made....ah.... as the Chair views them....are already the same points Representative Day made. Ah....the Motion to Table.... reconsideration of Adoption of Amendment #7....is a motion the finality of which, the Chair would in accordance with. The fact of the matter is, we're dealing now with Amendment #12. Should someone wish to re-submit Amendment #7, the Chair would be compelled to Rule that that Amendment was adopted and the vote was reconsidered then a Tabling motion was upheld. We're not dealing with Amendment #7, we're dealing with #12. Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like the Journal to show that I respectfully dissent from the Ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "That will be Journalized, Sir. Representative Gene Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hoffman: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like the Journal to show that I'm disturbed about all the nonsense that's going on here. Let's vote on theon the Amendment, up or down, you've either got the votes or you don't. Let's stop wasting our time..... with all the Parliamentary procedures. Get on with



the business of the House. Spent enough time here as it is."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, a Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Juckett: "I would like the Speaker to check the record to see whether the Sponsor of this Bill requested the return of this Bill for the purpose of an Amendment or Amendments. As I recall, he said 'for the purpose of an Amendment', which was his Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative, whether or not the Gentleman....ah..asked to have the Bill returned to the order of Second for the purpose of Amendment or the plural 'Amendments', is really not germane. Every Member of this House knows that when a Bill is on Second Reading, it is always in jeopardy of Amendments. Whether or not.....those who offer Amendments want to offer the Sponsor the courtesy and talk with him beforehand, is really, in this instance, of no concern of the Chair. A Bill on Second Reading is in jeopardy of Amendment or Amendments, and therefore, I don't think your question is germane for the ruling. Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, then a second point, would the Chair please rule as to whether this Amendment is dilatory, in that the wording of the Amendment requires a court of competent jurisdiction to give an opinion. Which is always the case in any piece of Legislation that this Assembly passes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Chair....ah...given the public interest in the issue, given the very substantive nature of Amendment #12, and given the separation of powers between the Legislative and the Judicialial...."

Juckett: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I don't want you looking behind



the record again."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay....Representative Geo-Karis has a Point of Order."

Juckett: "Could I.....did you answer?"

Geo-Karis: " A Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "I simply answered that the Chair would stand by its ruling and not Rule on the Point that you made, because of the reasons stated."

Juckett: "You're not ruling then, under the Point of Order?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'm ruling that the Amendment is germane and not dilatory, based on the reasons I stated. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "A Point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Geo-Karis: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this very Amendment propounded by the colleague in the row behind me, is the basis of the same Amendment that on May 21, 1974, in your Journal, there was a motion to reconsider the vote on that Amendment because that was Amendment 7 and then that motion was Tabled. So, how can you bring it up again? Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, simply because this is a differentthis is Amendment #12, Representative Geo-Karis, and whether or not the language in Amendment #12 is identical to Amendment #7, is something which I don't really believe is germane to the question."

Geo-Karis: "I think the subject matter should be germane to the question, shouldn't it, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "The subject matter depends on one's perspective, and that.....is what the substance of the Chair's ruling is....that is, the Chair, I don't think can ever allow itself to get into the dichotomy of various types of language within an Amendment. This is Amendment #12 which, heretofore, has not been considered."



Geo-Karis: "All I'm saying, Mr. Speaker, that I'm not....I'm not going into the dichotomy I'm going into the anatomy of the Amendment. And, the anatomy of this Amendment is the same as Amendment #7, that was re-considered and Tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, with respect to Amendment #12, this presents a real problem because it's what's generally known as a bad Amendment to a bad Bill. What do you do with it? Actually, it seems to me that if you read this language, you'll find that our worst fears and suspicions are confirmed, when we discussed the Day Amendment, we indicated that all of the Members sitting in their blue chairs here, all of the Senators and Governor Walker too, could be brought into a law suit, and we could be enjoined from changing, making any change in the financing of the RTA Act, and that would put us in a ridiculous posture. That was the implied meaning of all this Bond language that had been drawn up by the special interest, and put into this Bill, but, here it says, it says what we've been warning you about, that if a court so determines, then we can't change it at all. So, this Amendment actually is going to encourage the bond companies and their lawyers to bring us all into court. So, we'll all be parties defendant, and it'll be the silliest thing in the world. And, the court will probably have to rule, if they've got any sense at all, if it happens 10 years from now, they'll say, well how could those Gentlemen and 'Gentleladies', ten years ago, commit posterity? The Bible says something about as it was in the beginning, is now, and ever shall be; and, unless we have Day's Amendment taking this silly language out of there, we run the risk, that as it was



in the beginning....with RTA it's going to be.... forever and ever until the end of the world. Amen. Yes. And, so this language actually confirms the worst it makes a bad Bill even worse, probably, if that can be done and I would certainly urge that everybody try and help us in the General Assembly....avoid senseless litigation, and avoid all of that by opposing Amendment #12. Besides being a subterfuge. I urge you to vote against Amendment #12."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I must arise to oppose this Amendment. And, I'd like to pose a couple of questions to each of you here on the floor of the House. First of all, and most importantly,is this Legislature an independent branch of Government? Are we to do the Legislative work of the government of the State of Illinois, or must we ask the Supreme or the Appellate Court of the State....within the State of Illinois, whether we can pass a Bill or not? Because the language that this Amendment proposes to put into Mr. Katz's Amendment to the RTA Act that was previously passed, would provide that before we can alter or amend, we have to find out from the courts of the State of Illinois, if we can do so.....This provides in here, and under the existing language of the Act that would be restored, if this Amendment were to be adopted, that the State will not limit or alter the basis....the basis on which State funds are to be paid to the authority as provided in this Act or the use of the funds, and then this is the new language that's going back in, 'if a court of competent jurisdiction in Illinois determines that such limitation or alteration will impair the terms of any such contract', that contract being the issuance of



bonds and the sale of bonds, in the State of Illinois. I submit, first of all, that it may be unconstitutional. But, knowing the nature and makeup of our present 'courts of last resort' they very seldom find any power given to them to be unconstitutional. But, we would be at their mercy, as to whether or not we can vary the tax laws of the State of Illinois, upon which this contract purports to be based. And, I submit to you that I don't believe that the Members of this Legislature wish to submit their actions to the Courts of the State of Illinois, before we take Legislative action here. I would ask you a second question, especially those of you who come from areas of Illinois that presently have a mass transit district. How many of the bonds issued by your mass transit district bear the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois? I suggest that not one single one of those bonds issued in any of the existing districts, here in Springfield, in Decatur, in Peoria, or wherever the district may exist, presently enjoys the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois in the payment of those bonds or in the rates of which they may be sold. Why then, should the full faith and credit be extended to this district which proposes to encompass six counties in Northeastern Illinois, and that same privilege is not extended to all of the other mass transit districts in this State. I would finally present to you one other issue....this is one I regret very....very much. If this special privilege is to be provided to this transit authority, then I would suggest to you that regrettably, this language and this kind of proceedings is going to eventually accomplish, in the State of Illinois, if it is pursued further in other enactments, something that I hope.....I never see, but I'm afraid that we will see, and I mentioned this when the RTA



was first presented...On this very basis...and that is, the dissolution of the State of Illinois, as one single, solitary State in this Union. For, if you want to force the downstate portions of this State to move in any way they possibly can, to get out from under the obligations of this authority, as imposed by the language that would be restored herein, and certain other complimentary language in this Bill. And, if it happens and continues to happen as it has, we in downstate Illinois have no alternative. If we're continuously to be required to provide for only one portion of the State, then we can only ask that we become a state ourselves. I hope this does not happen, but please, if you insist, you're going to force us to that kind of determination. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson, did you....seek..."

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye'....Okay. The Gentleman has requested a Roll Call. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell has moved the previous question. Roll Call has been requested. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take a two-thirds vote. Representative Yourell has moved the previous question. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 36 'ayes', 34 'nays', 4 answering 'present', and the Gentleman's Motion fails. The Gentleman from McHenry....Rep....Who else sought recognition? The Gentleman from Cook, Jim Houlihan in his seat? The light is on down there. Where is Jim? Is Jim Houlihan on the Floor, his light is turned on here? The Gentleman from Cook....er...from Peoria, Repres-



entative Day. This is a Motion to Move the Previous Question."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know that there's much that I could add.... other than what Representative Tipsword has so admirablyexplained....of the effect of this Amendment. Ah....I don't think there is any question about it, what we're doing here is leaving aa blankin effect in the ...in a Legislative Bill for court intervention whereinviting the court to indicate to us what type of Legislation this Legislature should pass. And, I think it's bad, the effect of the Amendment, of course, is to make the pledge conditional on only one thing and that is, a ruling by the court that any change in the basis would impair the....ah...security of the bondholders. Of course it would. Any time that you would, in any way, limit the funds that are available... for the bonds....any way that you would restrict the sales tax or amend the sales tax law in such a way that it would reduce the take or the revenue produced by the sales tax, you're bound to impair the security of the bondholders. And, there's very little for a court to decide in a case like that. It's pretty clear an open and shut case. So, I think what we're doing here isagain we're delegating a little Legislative authority to the courts, I think that this is bad polity. I think it's a bad Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the text of Amendment #12 in front of me, and I must say in response to Representative Tipsword, and Representative Day, I see nothing in the Amendment that would require a prior determination by a court.... before this Legislation could take action. What the



Amendment says is that the question of whether Legislative action impairs the obligation of the RTA to the bondholders must be determined by the court. Not the validity of the Legislation but the question of impairment of the RTA's obligation to the bondholders. And, I submit that all this Amendment does is to make explicit what was already implicit in the prior language, and that is that the question of impairment of the bondholder's obligation is something that must be litigated. It seems to me that it was always clear from the prior language. That any change in the sales tax would not necessarily be precluded because bonds were outstanding. Only those changes which impair the RTA's ability to repay its bondholders would be precluded. And, what this Amendment says is, that an Illinois court of competent jurisdiction will make that determination. It doesn't say it shall make it prior to the time the General Assembly acts. It simply says that it would have to make it before ...before the question of impairment is definitively settled. It seems to me that's a sensible thing to do and that it seems to me that this is....ah..something that ought to be explicit in the law. I urge a favorable vote for the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it would seem to me that there would be no reason for this provision to be in here, that is the underlined provision, for there is no question in my mind but that a court does have jurisdiction.....to determine whether or not bonds issued would impair, or any Legislation by the State of Illinois, might impair the obligations of any bonds issued. It would seem, however, that what the 'draftor' of this particular Amendment seeks to do, is to exclude federal jurisdiction."



And, I agree with Representative Tipsword, who states . . .er....who stated, that there is no prior experience in this State, Legislatively whereby the full faith and credit is pledged to a local unit of government. And, this is a very serious question. It brings up, certainly, under the State Constitution, the equal protection of laws. It brings up under the Federal Constitution, the equal protection of laws. And, what it appears is that this would preclude someone from going into the Federal District Court to seek adjudication of this question. I don't know why it's necessary. I think the Amendment is worse, certainly worse than what it was in the original Act by Mr. Katz. Secondly, and in my mind, more importantly, the point brought up by Representative Tipsword, is giving away or the erosion of a separation of powers in this State. We here a lot of speeches on the floor of this House about having a strong Legislature and I agree with that....but, if we're going to do that, and if we're going to be a partner in this tripartite system of government, then we should at least have the guts not to mention or in language of this type, that we should....that we would let it go to the courts for their decision. To me that's an erosion of power....that no Member of this House should consider.....For these reasons I think the Amendment is bad. I think it should be defeated and if it is not defeated I would like to suggest there's going to be problems down the road....either in the State court or in the Federal court."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Is there further discussion? Representative Skinner, your light is on. Do you still want recognition, Sir? Do you want to speak? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I really have some questions that perhaps some Representatives of the downstatedownstate mass transit



district might be able to answer. I'm extremely interested in knowing what rate of interest downstate mass transit districts are paying without the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois behind them. I do notice that the

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz indicates he can answer those questions."

Katz: "That is not germane to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, and his questioning other people is not an appropriate method of parliamentary discourse."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if I were not ending my sentences with a question mark I would hope that those Members who do represent downstate districts might explain their votes and in explaining their votes might tell me whether the downstate transportation districts have to pay a higher rate of interest than for instance the Springfield Metropolitan Exposition Authority, which does not have the full faith and credit of the State behind it, but instead, in fact it's not even a General Obligation Bond, it's a Revenue Bond, and a mere two to three months ago they sold Revenue Bonds for five point seven percent. Now, I realize that interest rates have gone up, but I also realize that the Regional Transit Authority Board has no chance in hell of selling bonds for the next six months. They're going to be in court. Now, so....we can, I think, expect the prime rate to decrease markedly in the next six months, and I'm just....I'm not as convinced as the Sponsors of this Bill would have us to be.. would lead us to be.... that the full faith and credit of the State is a necessary prerequisite to selling RTA Bonds at a reasonable interest rate. Now, if five point seven percent is enough for Springfield, it would seem to me that

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Sir. Representative Shea, for



what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I don't know why the Gentleman keeps referring to the pledge of the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois. There's not a thing in there saying that the full faith and credit of this State is pledged. The only statement in the paragraph referred to is that the State or this Assembly will not do anything to impair the contract of the bonds."

Skinner: "Well, it seems to me that if they're not going to impair the credit of the bonds, that means that we're going to have to kick in according to that formula from now 'til doomsday. So, it seems to me that still has some degree of relevance. A rather high degree of relevance. So, the question still remains, whether the RTA Board would have to have more of a guarantee than do the downstate transportation district. And, it is my opinion, and it seems to be backed up by several downstate Representatives, Democratic Representatives, that this is the case and for that reason it seems to me that we ought to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert....'Bob' Day, to close the debate.I'm sorry....Dunne. 'Bob' Dunne to close the debate."

Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in closing I'd like to comment that I was very saddened to hear my old friend, Representative Deuster, describe this as subterfuge. I do not have any subterfuge in mind. If I'm not lucid enough in my explanation I apologize. But, I clearly want to do what I'm trying to do and I'm doing it in good faith. First, I'd like to speak to those suburban voters....er the suburban Legislators who might be opposing this Amendment. I think I read every paragraph and every paper all through the campaign about your opposition to the RTA Act.....



and urging the voters to vote against it but I don't remember one suburban legislator who opposed the RTA Act ever mentioning the bonding provisions or that anything was wrong with the bonding provisions. So, insofar as the political aspects of this are concerned of this Amendment with your voters I don't think it is an issue. And to those of you downstaters who now stand up to oppose this. I would like to point out that this is not as tough language as it was in the original Act that many of you supported last year. This is language that came out of the study from the Ogilvie Task Force Study from the Commission that Speaker Blair headed. This is wording that came from years and years of study of this issue and you guys voted for it in a trade for that downstate supplemental freeway Bill. I remember it clearly. So I think again, this is not a political issue and I don't think your voters are raising that issue. And finally, let's get down to the guts of the issue. It's really a business proposition. This is similar wording that is used all over the country in backing up transportation authority and other authority bonds. And it's very simple. The bonds won't be marketable and so the RTA probably couldn't function and even if they are marketable without this wording they would be DAA and cost the taxpayers of this State, your constituents, an additional \$120,000,000 over the 30 year life of the bonds. It is that simple. The Amendment is necessary and I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #12 to House Bill 2244. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers.

Borchers: "In explaining my vote I would just like to say

we haven't said anything about a supplemental.....
downstate."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Represent-
ative Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, just in explaining my vote and respond-
ing as a matter of personal privilege to the remarks of
my good friend, Representative Dunn, who has conscient-
tiously offered this Amendment in good faith I am sure.
When I called it a subterfuge, I was not referring to his
mind or his motives but simply to what the Bill or the
Amendment was and also, when Representative Dunne indicat-
ed that, during the RTA referendum debate nobody talked
about this, it might be that, I know he didn't have
cotton in his ears but maybe he wasn't out in our area.
But everywhere we went, the questions were, even the
proponents said, 'yea, this isn't much of a Bill but
we'll amend it and we will fix it up', and in response
to that, many of us said, 'no, it can't be amended'. It
can't be amended with reference to the taxation because
the bondholders have the right to lock this in forever.
And, so it was debated very much and this was very much
the central issue as we went down to the last part of
the referendum. The question was, 'can this be amended
and will it be amended?' Some of us said though maybe
there were Representatives in the House who wouldn't
want to see it amended, and that's a question for the
judgment, but we didn't want to see anything in the Bill
like this language that Representative Day took out and
Representative Dunne is trying to put back in. They would
lock it up forever. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have all voted who wish? Take the
record. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 66 'nays'
5 answering 'present'. Representative Dunne,...for what
purpose do you rise, Sir?"



Dunne: "I would like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "O.K., the Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk please read the, Pat North 'no'. Mr. Clerk, Pat North 'no'. Neff 'no'. Now we have got North 'no' and Neff 'no'. Will the Clerk please read the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Barry 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Beatty, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Campbell, Carter, Choate, DiPrima, Ewell, Friedland, Giorgi, Robert Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Holloway 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "J. Houlihan, Klosak, Kosinski."

Speaker Telcser: "Kosinski 'aye', Caldwell 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Krause, Kucharski, Londrigan, Madigan, Martin, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, Pappas, Peters, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schlisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sharp."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise. Giorgi 'aye'. Zeke Giorgi 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. Back in the campaign, Subsection E, that was a pledge on the State's part to do nothing to impair the interests of the bondholders and more specifically, not to alter or amend the powers and duties of the board so as not to impair and not to alter or change the basis of State funding so as not to impair was a most offensive provision and previously, I had an Amendment which later become known as the Day Amendment. However, in the meantime, I was asked by the Sponsor of the previous RTA measure to sit down and visit with him and to talk with the drafters of the Bill and I was convinced that the Amendment went too far and in our conversation it was agreed that there was a compromise. And the Sponsor of the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

previous RTA Bill agreed with me that this should be the approach. But since then everybody polarized on this matter and they want it either the old way or not to have it at all. This I am sorry about, the polarization. I can't go back to the original language and yet I can't accept the complete elimination of it and therefore at this time, I should be recorded as voting 'present'.

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Schlickman 'present'. Sharp, Ike Sims, Schoeberlein came up and voted 'no', Stone, Tuerk.

Speaker Telcser: "Tuerk 'no'. Is that correct? Tuerk 'no'? Record Representative Tuerk as voting 'no'.

Clerk Selcke: "VonBoeckman, Washington."

Speaker Telcser: "Washington 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Telcser: "Londrigan 'aye'. Londrigan 'aye'. Boyle 'aye'. Bradley 'aye'. McCourt 'no'. Krause 'aye'. McClain, Mr. Clerk, McClain 'no'. McClain 'no'. Record Representative Jim Houlihan as voting 'aye'. Representative Arnell, Mr. Clerk, Arnell 'no'. Arnell 'no'. Record Representative Choate as voting 'aye'. Choate 'aye'. On this question there are 71 'ayes', 74 'nays', 6 answering 'present' and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Will you repeat, 71 'ayes' and..."

Speaker Telcser: "71 'ayes' and 74 'nays' and 6 answering 'present'."

Dunne: "I would like to verify the negative Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a verification of the negative Roll Call. O.K., the affirmative Roll Call has been requested and in view of the fact that both have been requested the affirmative will go first. O.K., the Clerk will read the affirmative Roll Call first. Will the Members please be in their



seats. Just check the rule with Representative Katz and the affirmative Roll Call will be read first."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Dee, Douglas, Duff, R.L. Dunne, Epton, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Grieman, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hyde, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Mann, Maragos, Matijevich, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Molloy, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Schneider, Sevcik, Shea, Stedelin, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Washington, Williams, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions? Representative Beatty.

Record Representative Beatty as voting 'aye'. Beatty 'aye'. Now questions of the affirmative Roll Call. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Is Representative Barnes here?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Barnes on the floor? Barnes? Here he is, right here."

Day: "Representative Boyle."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Boyle on the floor? There he is in back of the chamber."

Day: "Representative Brummet."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Brummet on the floor? Brummet? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes.'"

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Day: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Telcser: "Farley, Representative Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes',"

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further questions?"



Day: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Mr. Clerk, Farley is off the Roll Call. Is Representative Giglio on the floor? Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "He is coming right back now. There he is. O.K., Giglio is here. Any further questions?"

Day: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "Right here up the center aisle."

Day: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Jones on the floor? Emil Jones. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Day: "Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Kosinski on the floor? Kosinski."

Day: "Representative Lemke."

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Day: "Representative Mann."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Mann on the floor? Representative Mann. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. All right, now, now let me make this point right now if I may. Please. Now Representative Mann was excused this morning. He is not going to get a per diem check and someone is voting him. Now he is the one who is going to have problems. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Katz: "To advise you that Representative Mann will be here in a moment. I think that he is not feeling well, that is true, but this is an important matter and so do not feel that he is not available."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Representative



Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Well, I was the one, Mr. Speaker, that asked for Representative Mann to be excused because of illness after a request by him through my office."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Choate, the Clerk made the point to me that those are the instances where we don't want to get Members in a situation where they have problems. I assume the Clerk thought when he was excused for illness he was home ill and we are simply trying to protect him. Representative Choate."

Choate: "I assumed the same thing."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's take him off the Roll Call for now. Representative Campbell, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Campbell recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Campbell: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Representative Anderson 'no'. Merle Anderson 'no'. Merle Anderson 'no' and Campbell 'no'. Now let's proceed with..."

Day: "Representative McPartlin."

Speaker Telcser: "He is right here on the floor."

Day: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "Didn't we ask Patrick? He is in his seat. Now Representative Farley has returned. Farley has returned."

Day: "Representative Epton."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Epton on the floor? Epton. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call and Representative Flinn, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"



Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Flinn: "Would you change that to 'aye' please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Further questions?"

Day: "Representative Peters."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Peters on the floor? Peters. How is the Gentleman recorded? Flinn goes from present, present to 'yes' for Flinn. Peters is on the floor sitting by Washington, Harold

Washington. How was Representative Peters recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Telcser: "O.K."

Day: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Telcser: "All¹ right, now Emil Jones has returned. Emil Jones has returned. Is Representative McGrew

on the floor? McGrew. How is Representative McGrew recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Telcser: "O.K., any further questions of the affirmative Roll Call? That is it? O.K., let's get the count up to now before we start the

negative. Representative Redmond, for what purpose do you rise?"

Redmond: "How am I recorded on that last..."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Redmond recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Redmond: "Will you vote me 'aye' please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record Redmond as voting 'aye'. Mr.

Clerk, would you record Representative J.J. Wolf as voting 'no'. Now let's get the count now before we start the negative verification. O.K., at this point there are 71 'ayes', and 77 'nays' at this

point. O.K.? Now the negative, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Shea: "Could you tell me the Members that were taken off



that Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "The ones that were off the verification?"

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Clerk Selcke: "Brummet was taken off. Epton was taken off. And Mann was taken off."

Speaker Telcser: "Epton is returned."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions? That changes it to 72 'ayes' and 77 'nays', right? Will the Clerk please read the negative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Barry, Borchers, Campbell, Capuzi, Clabaugh, Collins, Cunningham, Day, Deavers, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Fleck, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Jenison, Dave Jones, Juckett, Keller, Kempiners, Kent, Kriegsman, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, MacDonald, Mahar, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McMaster, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Murphy, Neff, North, Palmer, Philip, Polk, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stiehl, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, J.J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Brinkmeier recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', Questions? Representative McGrew has returned to the floor. Put Representative McGrew back on the Roll Call. One and a half for McGrew, one and a half for Catania, that makes three. Record Representative McGrew as voting 'aye'. McGrew 'aye'. Representative Alsup."

Alsup: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Alsup recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, questions of the negative Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Anderson."

Speaker Telcser: "Merle Anderson. He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Arnell."

Speaker Telcser: "Arnell. He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Campbell is in his seat."

Dunne: "Fleck."

Speaker Telcser: "Fleck is on the floor. He is sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "Gibbs."

Speaker Telcser: "Gibbs. He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Griesheimer."

Speaker Telcser: "Griesheimer is in his seat."

Dunne: "Harpstrite."

Speaker Telcser: "Harpstrite. Representative Harpstrite. Here he comes."

Dunne: "Hirschfeld."

Speaker Telcser: "Hirschfeld. He is standing right next to you. Representative Friedland, did you want recognition, sir? Record Representative Friedland as voting 'no'. Friedland 'no'."

Dunne: "Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "Mahar. Is Representative Mahar on the floor? There he is in the back. Now Representative Mann has returned. Mann has returned."

Dunne: "McClain."

Speaker Telcser: "McClain is in his seat."

Dunne: "J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Telcser: "J.J. Wolf. Is Representative J.J. Wolf on the floor? How is Representative Wolf recorded?"



Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Dunne: "McMaster."

Speaker Telcser: "He is standing on the floor. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, in connection with my absence today, I did call the Minority Leader this morning to tell them that I was ill and confined to my room and I thought this was important enough to come back to cast a vote for."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stiehl, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Stiehl recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Further questions, Representative Dunne?"

Dunne: "Murphy."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Murphy on the floor? Here is Murphy. Any further questions?"

Dunne: "North."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative North, is that Pat? He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Polk."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Polk is in his seat."

Dunne: "Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Schraeder is in his seat."

Dunne: "Shurtz."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shurtz. Is Representative Shurtz on the floor? How is Representative Shurtz recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take Representative Shurtz off the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Springer."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Springer on the floor? Here he is in the center aisle."



Dunne: "Waddell."

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Wall."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wall is in his seat."

Dunne: "Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Walters is in his seat."

Dunne: "Washburn."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Washburn on the floor?"

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Fleck."

Speaker Telcser: "Fleck. We called Fleck already. He was already verified. That's it? What do you have, Mr. Clerk? What do you have?"

Dunne: "One more, Mr. Speaker. Tom Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rose is standing in the aisle. On this question there are 75 'ayes', 75 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #, Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Stone: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Stone recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Walsh: "Change my 'present' vote to 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Walsh as voting 'no'. On this question there are 76 'ayes' and 76 'nays', 76 'ayes', 76 'nays'. I don't someone to bang at me. The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #12 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #13, R.L. Dunne, amends House Bill 2244, page 13, by deleting lines 3 through 10



and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from, is that yours Bill?

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bob Dunne."

Dunne: "I wish to withdraw Amendment #13."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #13 to House Bill 2244. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #13 will be tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #14, Totten, amends House Bill 2244 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't know whether copies of Amendment #14 have been distributed yet. I would like to wait until they are. They have been distributed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Totten, do you wish to proceed, sir?"

Totten: "At this point Amendment #14 has got a drafting error in it and I would like to table it as I will introduce another Amendment later on."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #14. Is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #14 will be tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #15, Skinner, amends House Bill 2244..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #15 changes the maximum interest rate that the RTA Board may pay from 8 to 7%."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, I oppose the Amendment that the Gentleman has offered. The interest rates are going to be determined competitively with regard to the Authority



and I believe that it would be a mistake to tinker with this provision at this particular time."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates....he indicates that he will."

Totten: "Representative Skinner, do you have any idea as to how much money this would save by reducing this interest rate?"

Skinner: "Well certainly it would save the same amount of money that the proponents claim would be lost if we don't have the full faith and credit beyond ...behind the bond."

Totten: "Do you have any indication as how many dollars that may be?"

Skinner: "No, I'm sorry. I haven't coughed it out. What is 1 percent times \$500,000,000 times 40 years? 30 years? Where am I? Well, it's over a \$100,000,000."

Totten: "It would appear to me then, if there were a hundred million dollars savings and that this restriction would be an excellent Amendment to Representative Katz's Bill and would certainly enhance the passage of the Bill and I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, I only wanted to say that the effect of this with the defeat of the Dunne Amendment will make it absolutely impossible for the issuance of Bonds, which I assume is what they're trying to do."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well Mr. Speaker, this certainly is an Amendment which you Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle, a number of you I know, would....should be most interested in. One of the subjects that we have been discussing,



at least with each other and to the Rules Committee, has been the question of increasing the usury rates. That is a very important question. I know you Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle, from what I've been told, are in opposition to increasing the interest rates. So much then should you be for Mr. Skinner's Amendment, which is to reduce the interest rates. They cut both ways. If you're going to be in opposition to increasing the usury rates, you ought to be for Skinner's Amendment to cut down interest. That's a good taxpayer remedy and you on that side of the aisle certainly ought to be in support of Skinner's Amendment. I'm... going to be watching the Roll Call on this one; and, .. the people will too."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's not get too frisky. Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Leinenweber: "First of all, Representative Skinner, there's \$100,000,000 savings. Could this be spent for transportation services?"

Skinner: "No, it would be just something the taxpayers would not have to pay."

Leinenweber: "Secondly, these bonds that would be issued, would be tax free from the Internal Revenue Service United States Government point of view. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "It is my understanding they would be. In which case maybe I should lower the interest rate to 6, because my area is now selling tax anticipation warrants for under 5."

Leinenweber: "Well, that's what I thought so, when we say that the maximum interest rate of 7 percent, tax free, then for a 50 percent federal income tax bracket



purchaser, it would, in effect, be getting a return of 10 and a half percent, is that correct?"

Skinner: "14 percent. Two times seven."

Leinenweber: "Fourteen percent. And this is compared to what our savings and loan associations can only charge 8 percent. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "So, in effect, we're talking about 14 percent money, as opposed to 8 percent, which our current usury law applies."

Skinner: "That's an extremely good point. What we're really talking about, in the present Bill, thea large corporate....a large taxpayer....large income taxpayer, could be getting an effective 16 percent return on their investment, whereas this Amendment will limit that return on their investment to a maximum of 14 percent. So...."

Leinenweber: "The point I'd like to make about the Amendment then is what we're talking about....on the one hand is the usury law, which is a lot of opposition to...to raising or eliminatingwhich permits the borrowing of money at no more than 8 percent, on the other hand, the effective rate of interest here for the purchasers of these bonds, which are always big institutions and wealthy individuals who are looking for a tax dodge, they're able to get 14 percent of their money. I think this is a fine Amendment. I would certainly expect everybody to vote for it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to point out that now we have the RTA in a situation where they are going to have a combination of general revenue and revenue bonds. It's going to be a combination of thethe backing is going to be for the



bonds, the 5 percent tax on motor fuel plus the parking tax. In addition, of course, they will be backed by the fairs and the federal and State subsidies. So, the bonds are overly backed. They probably won't have to be retired in the amount of more than 30 million dollars per year. And, Revenue Bonds are selling for less than 7 percent interest now. Now, if this is to be a viable entity, a viable tax entity, there is no reason on earth that we should allow the RTA Board the option to pay more than 7 percent, which you'll remember is really an effective return for the large taxpayer who will buy these bonds of 14 percent annual interest. There's no reason to allow them more than that rate which is provided for in the Amendment. For that reason I would hope that the majority would vote in favor of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #15 to House Bill 2244. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify bywant a Roll Call? Roll Call? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I apologize to rise so quickly but I did forget one thing....that Representative Palmer has reminded me of and that is these are completely tax free.....from the Federal Income Tax. So, you know, from that viewpoint it's even a better deal than I explained before."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "While we're waiting for the count on this important Amendment I would like to know, since when has Mr. Blair been demoted to Minority Whip?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, I got trouble with my switch out there.....Ha...Ha..Ha... I'm trying to figure out what's....Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do



you rise?"

Grotberg: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Thank you and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The whole situation on the bonding thing, this only improves it more for the people than if we were to go back to the original Bill in any sense of the word. My previous statement still holds, the whold bonding issue is a moot question. Am I on? Is that better? The whole bonding issue is a moot question....This Authority has more money than it can possibly spend from direct taxing power for the next six months. Let them have all of that time to put together, in consultation with the bonding community as I said before, and I've just got to support anything that either removes the bonding power completely for a little while or lowers the interest rateand any of these issues that come up, it will be helpful to defend the people from this monstrositythat we are imposing upon them. I would urge all the 'aye' votes that we can find for this good Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster. Have all voted who wish? Take.....What's the matter? Have all voted who wish? Did you want something? Record Representative Peters as voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Peters 'no'. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 61 'nays', 5 answering 'present', and....Amendment #15 to House Bill 2244Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to a point of order if I may? I know we're all tired at this point..."

Speaker Telcser: "Is it on this....Is it on Amendment 15?"

Griesheimer: "No..."



Speaker Telcser: "Let me just clear this out then....The Amendment is adopted. Amendment #15 is adopted. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Sorry, Mr. Speaker....I know that we're all tired and anxious to get home at this point with the holiday pending for us, but there is a practice going on ...on the floor of this House right now which I believe needs some rectifying in a big hurry. It's one thing for a Member of this House....that's duly elected, even though it's in violation of our own rules, to be casting the switch and vote for other Members of the House, but as we all know, practically speaking, when we're running off to the 'john' or down to thedown to that funny little restaurant....we do ask fellow Members to cast our vote. But, there are nonmembers on the floor of this House that are casting Member switches. I would point out to them that Rule 53(c) provides that they may be expelled from the floor of this House and not allowed to return again for the rest of the Session and I think they should mind their own duties and not be casting the vote for duly elected Members of the House of Representatives."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's point is well taken and will those who are on the Floor who aren't authorized to be on the Floor....please remove themselves. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Walsh: "It's been called to my attention, Mr. Speaker, that students from the Central High School of Zion, Illinois, all from District 31, are in the Gallery, on the Democratic side. They are represented by Representative Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, and Matijeovich."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #16, Skinner, amends House Bill 2244....."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we adopted an Amendment a wee bit ago which.....excuse me but the Page on the Republican side is passing out the same Bill that's already been passed out.....er the same Amendment..... The.....ah...Amendment #16 does what Representative Sangmeister's Amendment should have done. Representative Sangmeister's Amendment changed from two-thirds to 100 percent the amount of money that would be spent for the benefit, I believe was the wording, for the benefit of thethe residents of the seven transportation regions. This says that this new tax money will have to be spent exclusively within each transportation area. Now, to those of you who feel that this is giving too much to the outlying areas, I would point out to you that that of the total revenues RTA will have, which total approximately \$435,000,000 only about 11 percent of those total revenues are involved here. Now, it would seem to me that you ought to be able....you ought to be willing to promise us that 11 percent of the money, and you're not even really...really asking that because Chicago will get a substantial portion of it, but you ought to be able to be willing to promise us that at least that small amount, the new taxes we will have to pay, will be spent for the transportation that will benefit our areas. Now, the argument I'm sure will come up....from someone who is not totally informed of the mass transportation financial picture, of how in the devil do you pay for buses that go between counties. Well, let's look at that question. In McHenry County about 64 percent of the people have jobs within McHenry County. That means they don't cross a county boundry. In Lake County about 74 percentmaybe it's 76 percent of



the people have jobs within Lake County. In Kane County it's also above 70 percent, and in Will County it is also in the 70 percent range. So, we're only talking about 11 percent of the total money. All right, if you have to subsidize the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, which I would not envision happening because they have a penchant of raising the fares every time they reach a deficit position. You're going to have to finance that out of fare box or out of the State or federal subsidies. Now, is there anything terribly unfair about that? Now, even in Representative Garmisa's Bill, the very transportation area was guaranteed a fair percentage of the federal subsidies for instance. So, we're really only asking for 11. . . . for a part. . . our part of the 11 percent of the total revenue. And, I really would virtually plead with you. . . actually plead with you that if we're not to have an increased tension between the city and the suburbs you have to give us in the suburbs something to take home which we consider meaningful. We consider this meaningful I believe. Now, we would consider a twenty man. . . one man board. That would not change the political makeup of the RTA Board, meaningful. I do not consider the Amendment that Representative Sangmeister presented as meaningful. I consider it as cosmetic. I consider virtually everything else in the Katz Bill cosmetic. And, . . . no matter how reasonable the suburban Representatives may be, our constituents are going to force us to increase the level of taxation between the suburbs and the City if there is not some concession made by those Representatives who have the CTA close to their hearts and I would hope this would be one of the meaningful concessions that the Chicago Representatives would make. And, on those grounds I



would respectfully ask for your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption....Representative Katz, do you wish to speak to the Amendment? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, the Amendment of Representative Sangmeister's, does what fairly should be done without restrict.... without tying the hands so that it wouldn't be possible to run a bus from Aurora...to Joliet and I believe that it is a good Amendment in its present form the Bill is....and I would oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Skinner wish to close? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #16 to House Bill 2244. Do you wish a Roll Call, anyone? All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Campbell 'aye'. Phil could you push... are you by Chuck'sah...Okay....Have all voted who wished....Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise? "

Skinner: "Well, merely to observe, Mr. Speaker.....that if anyone thought that Representative Sangmeister's Amendment meant anything, the way this Roll Call is going should indicate to him very clearly that it didn't mean a 'damn' thing. Now, you have to remember that the railroads were the inventor of creative accounting. And, under Representative Sangmeister's Amendment, and under the wording in the present Bill, the RTA Board's accountants will be able to juggle the books any way they want to. They'll even....you know the...the boat that runs up and down the Chicago River ferrying passengers between the Tribune Building and the Chicago Northwestern Station, that boat can be included in McHenry County....100 percent...because



it does indeed benefit some of my constituents. I consider that absurd. It seems to me that if we don't pass this Amendment that this Bill offers nothing but cosmetic Amendments and that will not be acceptable to the suburbs."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to explain my vote, it truly amazes me that in Representative Sangmeister's Amendment to this Bill, that there were many Members on the opp....on the other side of the aisle who voted for it....yet when Representative Skinner tries to put some teeth into the same Amendment or really make it meaningful, that those persons on the other side of the aisle desert the issue. Now, what was attempted to be done in Representative Sangmeister's Billor Amendment.... apparently was not what the Sponsor had intended it to and I'm happy to see him get on this Amendment now too. Representative Skinner has hit the issue, and it is meaningful for those areas outside the City of Chicago and I think it is only a rightful guarantee to those areas that this Amendment be adopted in order to make Representative Katz's Bill acceptable to all of the people....And I respectfully urge that a green light be cast on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been totally quiet on all of these Amendments to this moment and I voted with Representative Katz on almost everything he has tried to do and yet I think that what Representative Skinner has said deserves the attention of this House. There ^{are} /some matters which need to be supported by those of us who have supported the RTA,



there are some changes that are appropriate and healthy, the factor of tension is clear, as he has referred to it. I really think that those of us who want the RTA and want it to be a healthy instrument of government should support this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

On this question there are 58 'ayes', 72 'nays', and 1 answering 'present', the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #16 to House Bill 2244 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, Geo-Karis, amends House Bill 2244 on...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a very simple innocuous and harmless Amendment that would really help. This Amendment ..17. simply says that we delete \$500,000,000 and insert in lieu thereof \$100,000,000the right of sale of bonds. In other words they can sell onlythe RTA can sell up to \$100,000,000 worth of bonds instead of 500,000,000. If they need more they can come to the Legislature and we can approve ...for more....At this time I respectfully request your help

.....\$100,000,000 the right of.....sale of bonds.....

in other words the salethe RTA can only sell up to \$100,000,000 worth of bonds instead of 500,000,000. If they need more they can come to the Legislature and we can approve some more....At this time I respectfully request your help in passing this simple and very good and meaningful Amendment. You recall....I was for a referendum on....sale of bonds.....I'm not asking you for that....but I simply am saying, to reduce the amount in a number from \$500,000,000 worth of bonds to \$100,000,000 worth of bonds in the Bill 2244."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? None? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #17 to House Bill 2244. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, early last year, in developing a Transportation Bill, which happened to be the first one introduced, I discussed with a number of transportation people how much bonding authority might be required and the common universal answer was a hundred million and the reason for that low figure.....just one fifth of what was actually in the RTA Bill, was because really unlike San Francisco and some other areas where they had to build a brand-new system from scratch and go out and borrow all the money. In our area we already have the CTA, we've already got the Commuter Railroad, we already have an existing system, we don't have to go out and plunge into debt, except possibly to buy some new buses. And, so we don't need five hundred million, and the best way to make sure that this appointed board doesn't go off of the deep end, is actually put it into law. This is a good Amendment, reducing it down to one hundred million. I would think the only possible exception might be if that RTA is really just aa means of putting the Chicago Loop under ground, or engaging in some massive downtown project, beyond what we've been told so far, then they might need a billion....or a lot of money, but for actually.....ah... the purposes of the RTA, all the commuter railroad rolling stock, with some small exceptions.....the Northwestern and some others.....are already in public ownership, they don't need to buy them. So, one hundred million should be good enough. And, I think this is a good Amendment that will protect the taxpayers..... protect us all...from the possible danger of an appointed



board, plunging off the deep end, far into debt."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Would the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker, yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Totten: "She indicated that she would?.....What indications do you have from the...either the Sponsor of this Bill or those that you may have talked to....that the amount that you have amended it to...would be adequate for a start up here?"

Geo-Karis: "....Representativecommon sense....my common sense tells me that if they need more than \$100,000,000 they can ask the Legislature for it. But, up to \$100,000,000 they can sell the bonds at public sale. So, I'm cutting down from a half a billion dollars to one hundred million. Before I came to this Legislature a million dollars was a lot of money and here we're throwing it around like it was pennies. "

Totten: "It is a lot of money."

Geo-Karis: "I think it is too. I still think it is too.... a lot of money...."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, if I may....I'd like to speak to the Bill....or the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Totten: "Representative Geo-Karis, in her Amendment, I think has addressed to a serious problem...and has provided a way to correct it by reducing the amount of five hundred million to one hundred million and I urge urge a green light on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discission? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "For those whose position is that they do not want to dismantle the RTA, I would urge a 'no' vote. The fugures that appear in the original Bill were, after



careful study, supported by all of the leaders. The situation hasn't changed at all and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is absolutely no way the RTA Board can sell more than a hundred million dollars worth of bonds before this Legislature is reconstituted next year and would have time to fully consider whether they deserve more. Now, certainly I would be very interested and I would think virtually all of the Representatives, except those who represent the real estate interests in downtown Chicago, would be extremely interested in making sure that the RTA did not spend the half billion dollars worth of bonds strictly on putting the loop...the elevated under ground. Now, if they do that, all of us who represent the outlying areas of the City of Chicago, as well as the outlying counties, in suburban Cook County, are going to be....figuratively speaking 'at the Wailing Wall' saying 'why did we let the RTA Board have a half a billion dollars worth of bonding authority before we knew how they were really going to spend it'? And you will remember one of the recurring complaints that the opponents of RTA had was that we're going at this thing 'bassackwards'. We're giving this authority the money before they have the plans. Now, if we only give them a hundred million dollars worth of bonding authority they will have to come back to us with a plan. And, if you need to put down tracks in the southwest part of Chicago, they'll have to put that in the plan and if that takes another hundred million dollars, we'll vote to put another hundred million dollars in. Ah...Legislature had the unfortunate capacity or inclination to overto over



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

authorize both State government and local government on bonding authority. Do you realize that we're going to be asked this year to vote for a hundred million dollar bonding proposal for the coal industry. Well, last year we voted for a hundred million dollar bonding proposal to finance school construction and debt retirement and we were supposed to spend a hundred million dollars in the last fiscal year and yet only 25 million dollars worth of bonds were sold. And, it really seems to me that at some point...the Members of this General Assembly would realize that you...that if we want to have a check on local...on local government and on the executive branch, we could authorize our bonding capacity on an incremental basis. Let them spend some of it, let them justify the need for more. . . It seems to me the RTA is...is less...is less ..ah... justifiable ...it is less justifiable to give it to the RTA, which is a totally unproven entity, than it would be for instance to give unlimited bonding authority to the City of Chicago, which has a proven track record. For that reason, it seems to me, we ought to vote against this....excuse me...vote in favor of this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman.."

Skinner: "Excuse me..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "A Point of Order, as far as the City of Chicago's bonding authority, that's going to....provided under the New Constitution...that's \$336,000,000. If you don't have anything to do about it, I wish you would keep your remarks pertaining to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you wish to respond, Sir?...Is there further discussion? If not, the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis to close the debate."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, believe me....none of my efforts could hardly dismantle any RTA, however, if my effort is destined to help the people of Illinois so that we can gradually have those bonds, maybe a hundred million dollars at a time....I respectfully urge a yes vote on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Lady's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #17 to House Bill 2244. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Okay, anyone wish to explain their vote?...before we take this sucker. Okay, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 54 'ayes', 70 'nays', 2 answering 'present', the Lady's Motion to adopt Amendment 17 fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #18, Totten, amends House Bill 2244 as amended, page"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #18"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Has this been distributed yet?"

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, have the Amendments been distributed? Amendment #18 has not been distributed, Sir? Is it being distributed now?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I'm perfectly willing to wait 'til it is distributed."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay....that might be an hour and a half, but...eh...All right, the Clerk is going to check up in the office, I think they are on the way down. He is going to check and see. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?.....Katz..."

Katz: "If the Amendment has not been distributed, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that we should proceed ahead, particularly

in view of the fact he's offering the same Amendment he's offered time and time again, I gather, and it is in my opinion, being offered at this time ...late in the afternoon...the day before a holiday, just to proceed to delay...as we have seen a steady progression around here this afternoon."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, are you seeking recognition? No? You want to vote 'no', Sir? There's no question put before the House at this time. You want to put a 'no' vote in storage...Ha...Ha...Now, Representative Totten...Representative Totten..."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.... I believe that....with the Amendment on file with the Clerk and I think we'll shortly have it distributed... that....it would ..ah...not be possible for the Sponsor to move the Bill...as long as the Amendment's on file ...and he...and either then leave the Bill on Second Reading if he so chooses or wait a few moments until the Bill...the Amendment has been distributed. Representative Katz, do you wish to respond, Sir?"

Katz: "Yes, I would like the ruling of the Chair. I made a point, Mr. Speaker, ...the Amendment hadn't been distributed...it was not timely and I wanted to proceed with the business of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Speaker Blair, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it would be very much out of order to take an Amendment out of order. You have to dispose of Amendments in sequence and the Gentleman certainly should know enough about parliamentary procedure to recognize that fact. Now, secondly let me say this, it is not the Gentleman's fault that offers the Amendment, that the Clerk's office can't get it printed and put out. It's not the responsibility of the Members of this House to print those Amendments up



and get 'em on the desks. It's the responsibility of Clerk's office. And, I'm amazed at the Gentleman that would be in such a desperate hurry to get his Bill on to someplace that he'd want to preclude the democratic process of full and open discussion on this House floor on any subject on this matter."

Speaker Telcser: "All right.... always keep in order.... Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the House granted the Sponsor of this Bill the privilege of bringing the Bill back from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. I think it would....certainly would be within the heart of the Sponsor...to grant the right...or the privilege of a Member to amend ...as long as it's there, and I'm sure that he would agree that he could wait until that Amendment was distributed."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Katz: "Well, I have listened with great interest in the 'crocodile tears' of my distinguished friend from Will regarding the Legislative process. I assume that since he was not in the Chair he is not aware of the fact that the Amendment that is being offered for Amendment #18, is the same Amendment that was voted on twice previously by this House. It would seem to me....I'm prepared to stay here all night if that is what is intended, but it does seem to me rather to impose on all of the Members of the House, for the Gentleman to offer an Amendment at the last minute, which Amendment has already been voted on twice in connection with this Bill. Which Amendment has been voted on at least once in connection with House Bill 2640 and I do not understand any reason that justifies a Member being able to walk down to the Clerk's desk and hand a multipaged Amendment a minute before the matter is to be reached...and then keep the



entire House in Session so people cannot proceed with the business, or they cannot go to their families for holiday weekends and accordingly I'm not impressed by either the Parliamentary soundness of the position asserted or it's tactical use in this particular situation. If they want to delay RTA, if they want to filibuster, go right ahead, but let's just recognize it for what it is."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of Order! If the Amendment hasn't been distributed, I'm unaware as to how the Sponsor knows it's a multipaged Amendment and what the subject matter of the Amendment is. Or if he does have his copy in front of him, I'd be glad to proceed, but I understand that Members on our side do not and the fact that it has been distributed over there, I appreciate to see, but it is not to my knowledge been distributed over here and I would still ... like to wait until it is distributed and furthermore Mr. Speaker, the Amendment that has been proposed is different and I do ... than those that have been considered before....and I still wonder in amazement.... how the Sponsor has seen it at this point."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, if the offerer of the Amendment had the common courtesy of the House, he would have seen that the Sponsor of the Bill had seen the Amendment before he offered it. I think it's always been the custom around herewhen you put a House Amendment on a Member's Bill...to at least give that Member the courtesy of seeing the Amendment the same time you take it to the Clerk. That's why he should have seen it, because you should have brought it to him and shown it to him. If you had any courtesy or any respect for the



traditions of this House, you would have let Representative Katz see your Amendment, see your Amendment while it was being printed. It's about time you learned that."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "Point of personal privilege Mr. Speaker. I was unaware that the Sponsor of this Bill was going to suffer the perils of bringing it back from Third Reading to Second and if I had known that well in advance, I certainly would have provided him with a courtesy of showing him the Amendment and I would be glad to while we're standing here....walk over with the Amendment ...and discuss it with him, if he should so like to."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I seek to avoid political polarization by pointing out that my democratic opponent and his beautiful wife are in the democrat balcony over there, the Honorable William O'Daniel and his good wife. Would you stand-up so we can see you, Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Skinner: "Well, just to ask a question, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if it wouldn't be possible to resolve this matter just by having the Sponsor take the Bill out of the record until the Amendment is ...is printed and then we can come back to it. If courtesy is really what we're talking about."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I twice asked you for a ruling as to whether or not I have to sit and wait and the Members of the House have to sit and wait....on the distribution



of it. I want to proceed ahead with this Bill and finish Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Katz, a number of Members wish to express their view on the matter prior to the Chair voicing an opinion. I'd like to get all of those people who want to say something, to say it before I consult with ...ah..Representative Blair, for what purpose do you rise?"

Blair: "To assist you in your deliberations I would like to point out that you do run into some very substantial technical problems....when you go out of order on Amendments to a Bill. For example, there could be later Amendments to the Bill that would then make this particular Amendment not fit in with the Bill. That's why you just simply cannot proceed, from a technical standpoint, to other Amendments and ignore this Amendment. That's just that simple, you ...you're placing a Member in a positionnot being able to address your particular piece of Legislation and I don't think you want to preclude him. I know how you feel about the rules of this House and I just simply think that you are not giving this Gentleman opportunity to present a brand-new, dynamic and exciting proposal to your Bill andand if you would not want to be put in a position of being castigated about shutting off the free and full debates of this House of Representatives I know you too well and how hard you've worked to make our rules the best rules in the whole country and for you to try to preclude Representative Totten from addressing himself to this concept, I just...I just ask you to reconsider that because I know in your hearts you don't really feel that anxious about it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Duff: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."



Duff: "What is the business before the House? Has Amendment #18 been offered."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendment #18 has been offered. They have not come down..... It has not come down from the printers at this point."

Duff: "All right, Mr. Speaker, if I may. This Bill is on Third Reading. It was taken back by the Sponsor to Second Reading, which is sometimes done. It had a full hearing on Second Reading before. Every Member had plenty of opportunity to offer Amendments to it at the time. It is frequently a courtesy which is extended to a Member to take his Bill back from Third to Second so that he may, after some degree of compromise or intent, fix his own Bill up into Third Reading shape. The times when we take a Bill back to Second Reading from Third are not intended, as everybody on the House Floor knows, to be a free opportunity for every Member of the House, who all of a sudden, who might oppose it, choose to try to gut it or use the opportunity in order to filibuster. Now the Gentleman who has an Amendment before the House and has not prepared it in a timely way should have done so and Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, on that very point, which I raised earlier, you ruled that it could be amended by any Member and by all kinds of Amendments and so the remarks by the Gentleman from Cook, I think, would be out of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, in my Parliamentary Inquiry, I asked if this Amendment had been brought before the House for action since it has been, regardless of whether its on..... and because of the character of the presentation



I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "There's no question before the House, Representative Duff."

Duff: "I asked you if there was and you said that there was. The business before the House is Amendment #18."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was confused at the very offset, because I thought Representative Duff was right when he explained his previously established 'no' vote, which you had recorded about an hour and a half ago, but I find now that he's moving a question, which we have yet to approach."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move that House Bill 2244 go to the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Katz: "To join in the Motion, Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact we have voted upon the matter that they are trying to use so deliberately for purposes of delay."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "Point of Order. I believe the previous Motion is out of Order, if there are Amendments on file, and secondly, I understand also there are other Members who have Amendments that they are going to file also, and so, that the Motion by the Representative from Lake is apparently out of order and would be discourteous to the other Members of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, the Chair is not aware of a Rule providing, pursuant to which a Member would move a Bill from one Order of business to another. Could you find that Rule and help me out a



little? You want to help me out a little bit?"

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I feel that that's a valid Motion. Whether it's under a Rule or not. I think it is a proper Motion. You've got to show me it's an improper Motion, as far as I'm concerned. I think it's evident from all that are here on the Floor of the House, if anybody wants to determine in my mind, for example, why the prior Bill didn't get as many votes as many of us anticipated, we're here trying to satisfy somebody's ego trip and as far as I'm concerned, I'm a little sick and tired of it. We strictly ought to vote these Bills up and down and get on with the business of the Legislature. I live in an area where RTA is very important and as far as I'm concerned, many of those who are opponents or the anti-RTA are really in effect doing the opposite of what they ought to do. They're killing all hopes of amending RTA in any way and I think rather than satisfy somebody's personal ego trip, that we ought to go on with the business at hand, vote up or down House Bill 2244 and continue."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Chair feels that points are fairly well taken that Representative Katz makes, with respect to his Bill. It's always been the custom of the House that a Sponsor does have control of his legislation. The Bill came back to Second from Third. Just as it is not the Sponsor's fault that the printer didn't print his Amendment, it's not Representative Katz' fault that his Bill has to be delayed and he is the Sponsor, so Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for purpose of a Motion. I would like to move that we would suspend the provisions of Rule 34a that provide for the distribution of Amendment, so that we can move....."



Speaker Telcser: "Just a second, Representative Totten. Representative Pierce says he's got the Amendment now. O'kay, are they distributed now? O'kay. Representative Totten. The Amendment has been distributed. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, with respect to Amendment..... No? Now wait a second, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "At this time, Mr. Speaker, I move that Amendment #18 be Tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, now wait a second. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I did make the Motion that this Bill be advanced to the Order of Third Reading and I didn't get any rulings from the Chair on that Motion. I feel it's a valid Motion and I don't think I need a second, Representative Hanahan, and I see no reason why we shouldn't pull through with that Motion. In fact, I felt you leaning towards it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair leans toward not necessarily a Motion, as much as Representative Katz' feeling that his Bill is being put in a situation beyond his control. Now, are you persisting..... The Amendments are distributed now. The Bill is on Second Reading. Amendment #18 is being discussed, now. Are you still putting that Motion?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I've been here long enough to know when there are Motions before the House that are only to delay the proceedings of the House and with that in mind, I'm going to persist in my Motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I would first like a Point of Inquiry, before I.... How many Amendments have been submitted to the Clerk's desk as of this time on this Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "There are two more Amendments on the Clerk's desk as of now after this. 19 and 20."



Maragos: "And that's the only other Amendments there are, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "At this time...I don't know. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "The reason why I'm asking these questions, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, is by this Action we are being fully applied of the fact that the tactics or the dilatory tactics of the opposition of this Bill and therefore, I move that if you're not going to honor... are you going to rule on the Motion of Representative Matijevich at this time or not?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to recall, or rather inform the House Members, the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle that's in such a hurry to have this Bill called or voted up or down, put it back on Third Reading, that he was using the same tactics the other day on the Resolution that was before the House, trying to stall for an hour and a half, so it's funny that he's in such a big hurry today."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "I'll have to rise on a Point of Privilege to that. Frankly, Representative Hunsicker, I really hated to be in that position of spending an hour on the Floor of the House. I didn't put anybody in that position. I felt strongly that the Committee System ought to have been used. If the Committee System was used in that regard, not one second of your valuable time would have been used. That was an awkward position that I hated to be in. I regret that, but don't tell me I put you in that position. Just walk over about three steps and you'll see the Gentleman that put you in that position."



Not I."

123.

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Maragos, are you....."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'm still asking for a ruling on Representative Matijevich's Motion, so that I then could make another Motion. Will you rule, one way or the other?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair would rule that that Motion is not timely in view of the fact that Amendments are pending and distributed."

Maragos: "All right, now Mr. Speaker, I will now make my Motion to Table Amendment #18, not because I do not wish to deny any Member of the House the right to put an Amendment, but this Amendment, after I had a chance to look at it, is a similar Amendment that has been defeated time and time again and again manifests the fact that this is a dilatory tactic rather than a act of good faith to Amendment this particular Bill. That's why I ask that this Amendment be Tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Some place along the line here, Mr. Speaker, we're getting the cart before the horse. I've never heard the Gentleman explain what his Amendment is. I think before you offer a Motion to Table it, you ought to let him explain it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "With respect to the Speaker, I'll let it be explained."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, with respect to Amendment #18."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and I would like to apologize for any delay that may have incurred by putting this Amendment in, but again, I was unaware of the Sponsor was bringing this Bill



back on Second Reading. Amendment #18 addresses itself to a matter in which some strains, we have discussed before, but Amendment #18 is an indication of a mood that has apparently changed in the House and that mood was reflected on a vote on the Speaker's Bill when his Bill fell short of the votes needed for passage, mainly because it did not have the opt out provision in it. We are trying to address Representative Katz' Bill and make it acceptable to all Members of the House, by putting that provision in the Bill, which was lacking in the Speaker's Bill. This will give Representative Katz the opportunity to pass his Bill out with much support that he would not have before. Amendment #18 addresses itself to two particular changes in those opt-out provisions that we have talked about before. First of all, there was serious objection to holding the election on a referendum on the same day as the General Election because there were some Members of the House who did not care to campaign for this referendum, for or against, at the same time they were running for election, so Amendment #18 provides that the election be held in the same manner as normal elections that be held on September 10th, 1974. It also provides that the petition shall be filed with the proper election authorities not less than 28 days prior to the election and that clears up drafting her in a previous Amendment. The Amendment also provides that should those counties decide by popular vote to get out, that they can so do and also that if suburban Cook County or the City of Chicago should decide to get out, that the RTA would be dissolved. This Amendment, as was indicated, earlier does provide some new dynamics and excitement to the RTA proposal and specifically to Mr. Katz' Bill and it does fix his Bill up and I would very much appreciate a 'yes' vote on Amendment #18. Thank you."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I again renew my Motion to Table this Amendment, because it is similar to the Amendment of the same Bill, which was given previously, which was voted down and I still say it's a dilatory tactic at this time. I move to Table this Motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos has moved to Table Amendment #18 to House Bill 2244. Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "I move....."

Speaker Telcser: "You move that that Motion lie upon the Table? O'kay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, has moved the Motion lie upon the Table. O'kay, Representative Maragos, you point is well taken Sir, that a Motion to Table is not a.... a Motion to Table, a Motion to Table is not a proper Motion. The question is, that Representative Maragos' Motion to Table Amendment #18. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. If you're with Totten, you vote 'no'. If you're with Maragos, you vote 'aye'. This is on the Gentleman's Motion to Table Amendment #18. Now, is there anyone who wishes..... Does anyone wish..... Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as most of you Members know, two days ago, you received a letter from the office of the Secretary of the United States Department of Transportation that made it absolutely clear that it didn't make any difference insofar as Federal money was concerned whether you let Kane County go out of the RTA or whether you had a ten county system or a six county system. That is new information and I think in light of that information,



in view of that new information, every Member ought to have an opportunity to vote 'yes' or 'no' on Representative Totten's proposed Amendment #18 and I think to simply Table this Amendment would be improper. We all must have an opportunity to express ourselves on this Amendment in light of the new information that we have and the new legislative situation, so I urge a 'no' vote so that we may go back and really vote on the merits of the Totten Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, just to explain my vote briefly. Those of you who may still wish to cast a 'no' vote on an opt out can do so by first defeating this dilatory Motion by the Gentleman from Cook to Table the Bill and I would so appreciate that you allow yourself that opportunity by casting a 'no' vote first."

Speaker Telcser: "Anybody else? O'kay, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 81 'ayes', 61 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "Could we have a Poll of the Absentees, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has asked for a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Kempiners: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Kempiners: "How many votes does it take on a Tabling Motion."

Speaker Telcser: "It takes a Majority of those voting on the question."

Kempiners: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has asked for a Poll of the Absentees. Will the Clerk please read the absentees."



Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Beaupre. Bluthardt. Boyle. Brinkmeier. Carter. DiPrima. Ewell. Gibbs. Jimmy Holloway. Kennedy. Klosak. Krause. Kucharski. Lauer. Madigan. Martin. McAuliffe. McClain. McGah. McGrew. Pappas. Peters. Philip. Schisler. Schraeder. Stone. VonBoeckman. Washburn. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone want to get on or off. Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you, and Members of the House. In just reviewing the absentees and looking at some of the votes up there, I have noticed some Members who are voting who are not here and I would respectfully request a verification of the 'aye' votes."

Speaker Telcser: "He did the absentees. Record Representative Stone as voting 'aye'. Let's get the count. Right now, the count is 83 'ayes', 64 'nays'. The Gentleman has asked for a verification of..... Representative Philip 'no'. Gentleman has asked for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Members please be in their seats. The Clerk will read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "We've got 83.... 20 is next. All right. Barnes. Beatty. Berman. Bradley. Brandt. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. Dee. Douglas. Duff. R. L. Dunne. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Grieman. Hart. Gene Hoffman. Robert Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Kelly. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Mann. Maragos. Matijevich. McAvoy. McCormick. McCourt. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Malloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Schlickman. Schneider. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea.



Stedelin. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson.
Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Washington. Williams.
J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "How, am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Yourell: "Record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Yourell: "No, I'm sorry, 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman 'no'."

Yourell: "Who am I voting for, Maragos, or Deuster?"

Speaker Blair: "You're voting..... Maragos' Motion to Table
the....."

Yourell: "I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate's Amendment. All right, Yourell
'aye'. Brinkmeier, how's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Brinkmeier
'aye'. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "He's already recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, show him as 'no'. All right, now,
are there questions of the affirmative vote? Mr.
Totten?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Calvo."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see him, so take him off the Record."

Totten: "Garmisa."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded? Oops, there he
is. He's back there."

Totten: "Beatty."

Speaker Blair: "Beatty's here."

Totten: "Choate."

Speaker Blair: "Choate's here. I just saw him."



Totten: "D'Arco."

Speaker Blair: "D'Arco, he's here."

Totten: "Rayson."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see him, take him off the Record."

Totten: "Duff."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

Totten: "Flinn."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the Record."

Totten: "Boyle."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Blair: "Good. Go ahead."

Totten: "Getty."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the Record."

Totten: "McGah."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Blair: "O'kay."

Totten: "Farley."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the Record."

Totten: "Laurino."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "D. Houlihan."



Speaker Blair: "There he is."

Totten: "Martin."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as being absent."

Totten: McPartlin."

Speaker Blair: "There he is."

Totten: "Giglio."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

Totten: "Murphy."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "Emil Jones."

Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded? He's back there."

Totten: "Taylor."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "He's seen the light."

Totten: "Jacobs."

Speaker Blair: "Jake's back there."

Totten: "Lenke."

Speaker Blair: "Lenke. Is he there? He's there."

Totten: "Rayson's back on the scene. Wait a minute, we've got some late arrivals. Farley's back on the scene. Rayson's back."

Totten: "McCormick."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the Record."

Totten: "Leon."

Speaker Blair: "He's here. He's been here all day."

Totten: "Sharp."

Speaker Blair: "Sharp, there he is."

Totten: "Terzich."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman....."

Speaker Blair: "There he is."

Totten: "Patrick."

Speaker Blair: "Who? Patrick's here."

Totten: "Kelly."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see him. Is he here? Take him
off the Record."

Totten: "Shea."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I saw Choate, but I haven't seen
Shea lately, so take Shea off until somebody rescues
him."

Totten: "McGrew."

Speaker Blair: "Shea just dropped in for a visit. Confirm
Shea."

Totten: "McGrew."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as being absent."

Speaker Blair: "All right. O'kay."

Totten: "Ewell."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as being absent."

Speaker Blair: "Yeow, he's absent. Calvo's returned."

Totten: "Hart."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see him. Take him off."

Totten: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Peters, arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Peters: "Vote me 'aye'."



Speaker Blair: "Peters 'aye'. On this question, there are 79 'ayes', 65 'nays'. I'm ready to rule to accept the Gentleman's Amendment to which you addressed your Motions. All right, and the Gentleman's Motion to Table prevails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #19. Totten. Amend House Bill 2244 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move to Table Amendment #19 on House Bill 2244, and would ask for the same Roll Call. I'm sure I won't get that, but I move to Table."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we have adopted the procedure, as I understand it, that the Gentleman who has offered the Amendment gets an opportunity to explain what it's about before we entertain the Motion to Table. That seems to me to be proper and so if you would hold your Motion to Table until the Gentleman has an opportunity to explain, then I will put that Motion, so now, Mr. Totten, who has the Motion? Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, on a happier note than Tabling an Amendment, I have the pleasure of introducing Ray Hudson's lovely wife, Barbara in the gallery, his daughter, Sue Fox, and her husband, Tom Fox, and their two children and grandchildren, Julie and Jeff Fox, in the gallery to my right."

Speaker Blair: "Maragos offers to substitute the Motion that we adopt the Amendment. Okay, Mr. Totten now."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an Amendment to House Bill 2244 and I would very much appreciate it if none of the Members amended my Bill by having a Motion to Table, so that we can have an opportunity to hear the Amendment and vote on it as it is. I think it would only be a kind courtesy and I'll proceed with haste to explain the



Amendment, so that we can move on with the action of the House and I would appreciate that courtesy...."

Speaker Blair: "One moment, Mr. Totten. Could we have a little Order, please? The Gentleman's entitled to have his Amendment heard? All right, if we'll give the Gentleman a little courtesy. All right, Mr. Totten go ahead."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #19 is very similar to Amendment #18 except for one important change. Amendment #18 provided that if suburban Cook County voted to get out or the City of Chicago, the RTA would be dissolved. Amendment #19 removes that provision. In other words, by voting for Amendment #19, you are not dissolving the RTA. You are maintaining the structure as it exists, should the other counties get out and it does provide you with the ability to add to the RTA district for that portion that may be left after the referendums are so voted and I think it's very important that this new twist receive serious consideration, in that the dynamics of providing the opt-out and then again not destroying the RTA I think should be important to every Member of this House and Amendment #19 does exactly that and I would very much appreciate it if the Members of the House were given the opportunity to vote on this Amendment without a Motion that the Gentleman from Lake desires to put on. Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully request a vote on Amendment #19."

Speaker Blair: "Now, wait a minute. Mr. Matijevich. I agreed to come back to Mr. Matijevich. You don't want me to come back to Mr. Matijevich? Okay, Mr. Maragos on a Point of Order."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I could understand you to give a courtesy to each Member of the House, but I think it's also incumbent upon him not to try to fool the



House by every Member of this House, by saying that Amendment #19 is any different than #18 and that if Amendment/^{#19}is in the language that I have, it says that the City of Chicago is removed, it will also not destroy the whole RTA program. From the language that I have on that Amendment #19, it's exactly as 18 is on the second page and I think he's making a mockery of the whole procedure of our responsible legislative government and therefore, Mr. Speaker, I second the Motion of Mr. Matijevich to Table House Bill..... Amendment #19 to House Bill 2244."

Speaker Blair: "All right, I didn't get the Point of Order, but ah..... Were you seconding Matijevich's ah. ... o'okay, it doesn't need a second. Oh, all right, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "With a deep sense of feeling for every Member of the House, I renew my Motion to Table Amendment #19."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, now renews his Motion to Table the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten's Amendment #19 to House Bill 2244. All those in favor, you want 'yes' or 'no' Mr. Totten, or Roll Call? You want a 'yea' or 'nay' or Roll Call?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to point out that that provision is in there. It may not, the point that Mr. Maragos made, as to whether 19 or 18 were different. 19 is different, but I would respectfully urge a 'no' vote on the Motion by Mr. Matijevich."

Speaker Blair: "Do you want a 'yea' or 'nay' or do you want a Roll Call? You want a Roll Call? All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion to Table will vote 'aye' and those not in favor will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there



are 87 'ayes', and 56 'nays' and the Gentleman's Motion to Table prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #20. Deuster. Amend House Bill 2244 as amended on page 16 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one year ago, it seemed to me that the transit needs of our area were an opportunity for the city and the suburbs to come together in harmonious cooperation and to work together and develop a Bill that would bring the city and suburbs together and unfortunately, just the opposite has occurred. We have an awful lot of bitterness and factualism and we have fitted the city against the suburbs and I guess that's too bad. Probably the reason for that is that when the compromise was put together, the Leader said we just don't give a damn about any of your Amendments. We're not going to listen to anything. We're just going to run this right through and we're not going to give an inch on anything. That's too bad. This Amendment here gives you an opportunity maybe to budge an inch, just budge an inch. This is similar to an Amendment offered by Representative Geo-Karis. We did discuss the fact that perhaps the bonding authority was far too much. It was really needed. She was suggesting, Representative Geo-Karis, that we reduce it somewhat drastically from \$500 million down to \$100 million. It seems to me that was adequate, but this Amendment, this reduces it a little bit from \$500 to \$400 million. I don't think this is going to hurt RTA one little bit. I do think it will give us all an opportunity to say 'yes, we worked our wheel on the total Bill'. We made some changes. We've made most of the people happy and then we can all go back to our Districts and then say 'aye', we did amend RTA. We tried to perfect it. This

Amendment simply changes the maximum bonding authority, how far RTA can go into debt from \$500 million to \$400 million. I think it's a reasonable and modest Amendment which will not ham-string or destroy RTA or do anything serious and damaging and I urge your support for Amendment #12 to House Bill 2244."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there further discussion?

Does the Gentleman care to close? Mr. Deuster, do you care to close? Do you care to close, Mr. Deuster. All right, all those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no' The 'ayes' have it. Roll Call? All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion will vote 'aye' and the opposed will vote 'no'. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, a couple of the Members have just indicated what does this do, it may be that they weren't paying attention. The Amendment is very simple. It just takes \$500 million dollar maximum bonding authority and moves it to \$400 million. Actually, the RTA has continuous authority to be in debt to this total amount at all times, but this just makes a modest downward adjustment in their maximum ability to go into debt from \$500 million down to \$400 million. I don't think it's going to hurt anything. It will make us all feel that we've tightened up a little bit. We've shown a little bit of responsibility and we have called upon RTA that if they really need to plunge over \$400 million into debt, maybe they can come back to us. Perhaps this is a way of strengthening the control that we in the General Assembly will have over the affairs of RTA."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. All right at this point in the proceeding, we are at 71 'nays' and 62 'yeas' and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."



Deuster: "Yes, I would like to request that the absentees be polled."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Poll the Absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt. Boyle. Brandt 'no'. Brinkmeier. Carter. Collins. Davis. Deavers. DiPrima. Ewell. Fary."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute. Davis 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Fary 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Fary 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Giorgi."

Speaker Blair: "Giorgi 'no'. Yourell 'no'. Yourell 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Hart. Hirschfeld. R. Hoffman. J. Holloway. R. Holloway. Jenison. Keller. Kennedy. Klosak. Krause. Lauer. Lechowicz. Londrigan. Madigan. Martin. McAuliffe. McClain. McCormick. McGah. McGrew. Pappas. Randolph. Schisler. Sharp. Sims, Ike. Stone. Stone 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Stone 'no'. Lechowicz 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Telcser. Tipsword. Washburn."

Speaker Blair: "All right, at this point, we're at 78 'nays' and how many 'ayes'? 78 'nos' and 62 'yeas', and the Gentleman's Motion to.... the Amendment fails. Wait a minute. Mr. Deuster, do you..... We've polled the Absentees and the vote stands 78 'nos' and 62 'yeas'."

Deuster: "Yes, I do not request.... do not desire to request a verification, so we'll accept that vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #21. Skinner. Amend House Bill 2244, by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Blair: "No, just poll the absentees. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this whole afternoon, or at least part of this afternoon revolves around the inability



of the Sponsor of this Bill to make a commitment to bring this Bill back to Second Reading to give us at least the opportunity to have a vote on whether or not we could have a 21 man board. Now I apologize for the Amendment that I offered that had to be used to fill in time, but it seems to me this is a significant issue. It has not been offered before. I did not know this Bill was going to be called back to Second Reading this time or the Amendment would have been ready. Now this Amendment is not printed and it is not on your desks, and if you wish, through a technicality, not to vote on it, you certainly have the right to bring that point up. However, it seems to me that by voting on the Amendment and if you wish to defeat it, you certainly may defeat it. You will have given us every opportunity to present our case that we could possibly ask for."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. I don't see Amendment #21, so I'm not sure what the Sponsor's talking about, number one, and I think that it has been a rule that we could at least see what the Amendment says prior to discussing what's in such Amendment and I see the Sponsor's coming back to show that to me. Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsors of these Amendments are acting like they're so surprised this Bill ever reached Second Reading. This Bill was on Second Reading before and was extensively debated and amended at that time. I don't think there's any special consideration. They have their second reading change. The Sponsor wanted to improve the Bill a little bit today and did, but if the Amendment's not ready, we could still act on it by tabling it and I therefore move to lie Amendment #21



on the Table."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, has moved that we Table Mr. Skinner's Amendment #21. A Roll Call has been requested on the Gentleman's Motion. All those..... Mr. Skinner, what's your Point? Oh, all right, we'll give you a Roll Call. What we're on now is Mr. Pierce's Motion to Table Mr. Skinner's Amendment #21. All those in favor, would you like to be heard on that Motion, Mr. Skinner? All right, all those in favor of the Motion to Table will vote 'aye' and the opposed will vote 'no'. Yes, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my predictavely 'no' vote, I would point out that this is not an issue that cannot be described verbally. It is Amendment #21, which coincidentally will do just what it is tied around the magic number of 21, created a 21 man board. That is exactly the same or extremely similar, parallel to the 21 man board in the Blair Bill and you're going to hear me say it again and again, until somebody believes me or until the 1982 reapportionment, when we have the votes to stick it to you on a couple of things, that we need a reasonable, we need a reasonable semblance of compromise from the Representatives of the City of Chicago. I would suggest that allowing us to vote on this is some indication that there's some conciliation on the other side. I'm going to get re-elected the same way you are. I'll be back to see you next year."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? Explanation of votes? All right, the Clerk will, Mr. Skinner? Yes, a request has been made for Absentee and verification, so take the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup."

Speaker Blair: "Alsup 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Bluthardt. Boyle. Brinkmeier. Brummett. Caldwell. Campbell. Capuzi."



Speaker Blair: "Capuzi 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Carter. Clabaugh. Day. Clabaugh 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Clabaugh 'no'. Duff 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "DiPrima. Dyer. Dyer. Dyer 'no'. Ewell.

Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Hanahan. Harpstrite.

Harpstrite 'no'.

"Harpstrite 'no'. Hart. Hirschfeld. R.

Hoffman. J. Holloway. R. Holloway. J. Houlihan.

Jenison. Emil Jones. Kelly. Kennedy. Klosak. Krause.

Lauer. Madison. Mahar. Martin. McAuliffe. McClain.

McCormick. McGah. McGrew. Murphy. Pappas. Randolph.

Rigney. Schisler. Schisler 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "Schisler 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: "Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Taylor. Brummet

'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Brummet 'aye'. Let the Clerk catch up,

now."

Clerk Selcke: "Flinn 'aye'. Giglio 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Giglio 'aye'. All right, Murphy 'no'.

Taylor 'aye'. Caldwell 'aye'. Representative

Schraeder.... Caldwell 'aye'. One second, let me

get Representative Schraeder. Do you seek recognition?"

Schraeder: "Point of Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker. Since

we've been here all day and most downstaters have had

an interest in RTA and our interest is gradually

dwindling into a minute particle, I'd just wonder

if there might.... if the lights might be dimmed

little bit and we might get a siesta while the issue

is being debated."

Speaker Blair: "It's not a bad idea. You want to turn the

lights down Jack or Fred? Where's the switch? O'kay,

Representative Flinn, for what purpose do you rise?

Turn them down. He wants to get some rest. O'kay

Flinn 'aye'. Anderson 'no'. Mahar 'no'. Repre-

sentative Duff 'no'. Representative Skinner."



Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, for a Point of Personal Privilege.

The smoke is getting so thick and the lights being turned down, it's very difficult to see in the far reaches of the Democratic side and I wonder if the lights can be turned maybe half way back up?"

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Representative Yourell 'aye'.

Yourell 'aye'. Representative Sevcik, for what purpose does Representative Sevcik arise?"

Sevcik: "As long as you've turned off the lights, will you turn off the microphones? I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik votes 'aye'. Sims, 'no'. Timothy Sims 'no'. Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Grotberg: "Point of Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Grotberg: "Having just heard from the ghost, the gray ghost in the back corner, as I understand it, there's a birthday today for Mr. Joe Sevcik and it was brought to my attention clear up in the front row. Is that true, Joe? Happy Birthday to Joe Sevcik. It almost escaped the attention in the midst of this twilight hour of the day. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Representative Harold Washington 'aye'. Harold Washington 'aye'. Kelly 'aye'. Representative Emil Jones 'aye'. Representative Fred Tuerk 'no'. Fred Tuerk 'no'. Will the Clerk please proceed with the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Rigney."

Speaker Telcser: "Hold it, hold it, Mr. Clerk. Representative Jim Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Houlihan: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Jim Houlihan 'aye'. Jim Houlihan 'aye'. Giorgi 'aye'. Brinkmeier 'aye'. Hanahan 'no'. All right does anyone else wish to get on or off the roll call at this time?"



Clerk Selcke: "Schoeberlein. Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second. Schraeder. Wait a second, now, Representative Schoeberlein wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Schraeder. Sharp. Ike Sims. Telcser. Tipsword. VonBoeckman. Richard Walsh. Richard Walsh 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Richard Walsh 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "William Walsh. Washburn. J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Telcser: "Are you seeking recognition? No. Just on the Motion to Table? Record, Mr. Clerk, will you record Mr. J. J. Wolf as voting 'no'. Now, let's see what the current count is before the verification. Mr. Clerk, now wait a second, Representative Chuck Campbell wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Mr. Clerk, will you record Representative Sharp as voting 'aye'. Sharp 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, will you record Representative Day as voting 'no'. O'kay, currently, there are 79 'ayes', 63 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Now I came up in the middle of this. Is there.... Representative Skinner, did you seek a verification of the Affirmative?"

Skinner: "I don't.... I would certainly like to call out the names I can't see."

Speaker Telcser: "Currently, we're at 79 'ayes', 63 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Gentleman has asked for a verification. Read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummett. Caldwell...."

Speaker Telcser: "One second please. Representative Bob Dunne.... O'kay, can Representative Bob Dunne have leave to be verified now. He has to go somewhere?"

Clerk Selcke: "Calvo. Capparelli. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. Dee. Douglas. R. L. Dunne. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn.



Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Grieman. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hyde. Jacob. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lemke. Leon. Londri-gan. Lundy. Mann. Maragos. Matijevich. McAvoy. McCourt. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Mally. Mugalian. Nardulli. Patrick. Peters. Pierce. Rayson. Redmond. Schneider. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Stedelin. Stone. Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. Wall. R. Walsh. Washington. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Skinner: "Yeow, I've decided I want to vote 'no' on this Bill, so I would withdraw my request for a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on this question, there are 79 'ayes', 63 'nays', 2 answering 'present', and the Gentleman's Motion to Table Amendment #21 prevails. O'okay, are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time. Gentleman wish to have it called? The Bill has been read a Third time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been around all day and if the other Bill, 2640, got a full hearing, a fair vote, the House was here, everybody was here. The Speaker got a really fair vote and I do want to say that I do consider it to be somewhat unfair that this is called at 5:20 on the day before a holiday. A number of people have already departed and I'm in the position, if this Bill is fully debated, of keeping my colleagues here from going home on their vacation and accordingly, Mr. Speaker, out of difference to my colleagues, but with a very strong feeling of the unfairness of the procedure that only permits one major Bill to be heard and does not permit the other, I'm going to take it out of the Record, but



I want to say, Mr. Speaker, that this RTA....."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, Representative Blair, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Blair: "Well, right, I don't understand why, on a Point of Order we're having a speech. If the Gentleman doesn't want his Bill heard, then all he has to do is take it out of the record. If he wants his colleagues to go home, then just say take it out of the record."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, it was perfectly clear that this was RTA day. Instead, we spent a good deal of the morning hearing matters that were not RTA bills. What happened then was that one of two major Bills was called at a time before everyone departed. Now, I really feel in fairness that I only want a fair shake and I don't think I have it by calling it now, so take it out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 2289. Representative Wolf, you want your Bill called, Sir?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2289. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 4.03 of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill places a limit as it is amended now of 15 cents per car space on the privilege of motor vehicles in parking lots and garages in the metropolitan region. What this Bill attempts to do in the event that, of course, nothing else passes in both Houses, that the RTA Act, as it now exists, has an unlimited tax on the privilege of parking, so this does, in effect, define a parking lot or garages and also limits the parks to 15 cents per car space which is similar to what the City of Chicago now imposes. Without the passage of this Bill, of course, there is no limit on the parking tax."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2289 pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer, are you seeking recognition now? Okay, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. In case some of the Members were not paying attention, this is a parking tax limit. Now some might say, 'well we don't want a parking lot tax at all, a parking tax of any kind,' and of course, that is contained in another Bill, but if that Bill does not pass, the RTA Act, as it now stands, has an unlimited tax on the privilege of parking. Now it seems to me if we're going to have an appointed Board, which is not responsible directly to the electorate, that at least the General Assembly ought to spell out and limit how much tax they can charge, because this way it could be 30 cents, 40 cents, 120 cents, 50 cents, whatever they wish to charge, so whether or not you adopt this or not is maybe a question that you don't want a parking tax of any kind, but if a Bill that has now precluded or eliminated the parking tax does not pass and is not signed into law or is amendatory vetoed by the Governor, then you're right back to the position that an appointed board has unlimited taxing power on a parking lot tax and it would seem to me that this would be a good Bill for the People and I would certainly hope to see more green lights up there."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Telcser 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This question, there are 78 'ayes', 2 'nays', 12 answering 'present'. Turn on Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I was going to ask a Poll of the Absentees first, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a Poll of the Absentees. We'll poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Bluthardt. Boyle. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Carter. Chapman. Choate. Collins. Craig. Davis. DiPrima. Ralph. Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Ebbesen. Ewell. Fary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in order to save some time, could I just ask for Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure you may. Now, the Gentleman has asked for Postponed Consideration. He has that right. House Bill 2289 is on the Order of Postponed Consideration. 2406, Representative Sangmeister. House Bill 2406."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2406. Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there has been a lot of discussion this afternoon regarding various Amendments to Representative Katz' and Representative Blair's Bills. This one again is a very simple Bill, reducing the amount of the bonding power down from one-half billion dollars down to two-hundred million. There's no magic in my figure of two-hundred million anymore than there's any magic in the 500 million that was first put into the Bill, but the other part of the Bill, I think, is important in that it requires that the RTA Board submit for competitive bidding any bonds or notes to be sold in the excess of 500 million dollars. I think this is reasonable. I think it's a good Bill. I think it strengthens the RTA and I request a favorable vote."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2406 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Anyone wish to explain their vote? Okay, no one wish to explain their Bill? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 47 'ayes', 37 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Representative Deuster, you want 2407 called, Sir? House Bill 2407."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2407. A Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster "

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just like Representative Katz, I think with respect to the other Members might require that this Bill not be considered at this time, so I request that you take it out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, when we started these Regional Transportation Bills today, Representative Shea made inquiry about which ones I was going to call. Now, Representative Chapman has a Bill that expires today. Is it okay if we call that or move to give her another week? I don't care, but..... Deuster's is out of the record. Okay, let's go to Representative Chapman. House Bill 2426."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2426. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, before I start on this measure, which is not an RTA Bill, by the way, may I have your permission to acknowledge another Legislative spouse who is here today. I'd like you all to know and recognize



the presence of Allen Macdonald in the Speaker's Gallery, an outstanding citizen of Arlington Heights. Didn't any of you hear me introduce Allen Macdonald. Maybe we could have another round of applause for Allen. It would put us in a better mood to get 89 votes or better to this measure for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. It's for \$72 million. I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I just would wonder if you would tell us how much this has increased over last year?"

Chapman: "Yes, Sir. 7.3 million dollar increase over 1974."

Skinner: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2426 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peter: "Mr. Speaker, just in explaining my vote once again as I did on the Amendment. I do not believe that the Illinois Scholarship Commission has its priorities set properly. I think a lot of middle income people, lot of people living in my district, who have a very difficult time on the funds they are making from receiving these scholarships are for their children and until I receive some satisfactory answers from the Illinois Scholarship Commission, I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 142 'ayes', 8 'nays', none answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Totten, did you want 2522 called, Sir? Out of the Record. Representative Miller, 2529. No. Representative Schlickman, you want 2653? Representative



Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I have an Amendment to offer, but it hasn't been printed and distributed. Therefore, I would ask that it be taken out of the Record until next week, at which time, I will ask for leave to bring it back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Fine, thank you, Sir. Let's take Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Epton from Insurance to which House Bill 2618 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Epton from Insurance to which Senate Bill 1128 was referred, reported sending back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Epton, from Insurance, to which House Bill 2626, 2617 were referred, reported sending back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue, to which House Bills 2278, 2279 were referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations from which House Bills 1114, 2303, 2351, 2365 and 2505 were referred, reported sending back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendations the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations, to which House Bills 1115, 2501, 2504, 2717, 2786 and 2802 were referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bill 1317 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Blair from Rules, to which Senate Bill 353 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bill be returned to Calendar on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Blair from Committee on Rules, to which Senate Bill 408 was referred, reported sending back with the



recommendation the Bill be returned to Calendar on the Order of Third Reading, Consideration Postponed. Mr. Blair from Rules, to which House Bills 2150, 2152, 2153, 2500, 2539, 2568, 2588, 2636, 2673, 2689, 2721, 2743, 2744, 2757, 2782, 2783, 2784, 2815, 2848 were referred, reported sending back with the recommendations the Bills be referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Mr. Blair, from Rules, to which House Bill 1371 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bill be returned to Committee of Veterans Affairs, Personnel and Pensions."

Speaker Telcser: "Can I interrupt, Mr. Clerk? We're still going to do some House Bills, Third Reading. Representative Redmond wants the Appropriation group called and a couple of others."

Selcke: "Mr. Collins from Executive, to which Senate Joint Resolution 62 is referred, reported sending back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. North from Cities and Villages, to which House Bill 2269 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation, to which House Bill 2216, 2344 and 2654 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bills do pass."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 2349."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2349. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aging. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2349 makes the Appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of the



Aging. After a few more afternoons like this, I think we'll all be interested in this. I would ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2349 pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Let's go now. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 137 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2360."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2360. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Historical Library. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew. Is he here? McGrew. Jerry, you want to take it? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this is the essentials for the Illinois Historical Library. Would you take it out of the Record. I understand there's an Amendment coming for this."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, we'll take it out of the Record. Representative Martin on the Floor? No. DiPrima? No. What about Representative Matijevich, you want 2457 called, John? House Bill 2457."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2457. A Bill for an Act making an additional appropriation to the Department of Mental Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Memberrs of the House, House Bill 2457 is the Bill which Dick Walsh properly made some questions. I've since had the facts on it. The original \$25,000 appropriation stem from a law suit



by a number of employees within the Department of Mental Health, where the court did order that retro-active back overtime pay should be paid to them. They were mostly from the institution at Jacksonville. The Department has since asked for an additional \$50,000 which is an anticipation of all the fire fighters within the Department of Mental Health, asking for the same retroactive overtime pay. This has been cleared with both sides of the aisle in the House Appropriation Committee. I ask for the favorable vote of House Bill 2457."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, all I've got to say is that I've spent a little time here and there in some of the zone centers and observed these gentlemen. I'm going to vote 'no'. I think that what they're being paid is ample and their overtime is a little ridiculous."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2457 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Hanahan 'aye'. All voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 113 'ayes', 4 'nays', 3 answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2506 is an appropriation that creates the Commission so..... Also, 2506."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2506. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Insurance Laws Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Commission that has been in force for eight years.



During the past eight years, there's been a rather remarkable job. It passed out of the Committee unanimously and we're asking your approval this time to make it a permanent Commission so that it can get started to work immediately instead of waiting until October or November of each year to begin its work. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2506 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 128 'ayes', no 'nays', 5 answering present and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryan. Also 2608."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2608. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2068 is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General's Office and I would move for its passage."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill designate which appropriation and the amount of the appropriation?"

Ryan: "The ordinary and contingent expenses to the tune of \$8,967,735."

Maragos: "Are there going to be supplemental appropriations brought up for other reasons, for other programs of the Attorney General?"

Ryan: "I don't know of a supplemental appropriation, but I do know of a transfer of funds within the Department."



I don't know of any supplemental appropriations coming.

Maragos: "And can you at this time tell me, what increases have been in this appropriation compared to last year?"

Ryan: "The increase is that what you asked?"

Maragos: "Yes."

Ryan: "\$601,535.00."

Maragos: "And can you tell me for what reason the increase has been asked?"

Ryan: "Just a normal increase that has been given to all these agencies, Representative Maragos. The salary increases, increase on workload and so forth."

Maragos: "Do you know how many additional attorneys and staff have been hired by the Attorney General in the previous year?"

Ryan: "Added nine positions."

Maragos: "And do you know what classification, what salaries they are paid?"

Ryan: "What was that? I didn't understand you."

Maragos: "Time and again, the Attorney General comes in with new programs or new ideas as to enlarge his power to investigate various segments of our society. As a result, I would like to know if this appropriation includes any anticipated hiring or staffing which has not yet been approved by this House. That's why I'm asking this question."

Ryan: "Well, there's no new programs in there, Sam, if that's what you want to know. No new programs."

Maragos: "Well, the lateness of the hour, Mr. Speaker, and I don't want to hold up the House, but at this time, I have to vote 'present' until I get some of those answers."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2608 pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. On this question,



112 'ayes', 1 'nay', 5 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rayson, you want your Bill called? No. Representative Craig on the Floor? Is he back there? Bob do you want..... No. All right, Senate Bills, Third Reading. Representative Calvo. Representative Calvo. Senate Bill 166. Representative Friedland, 678. No. Randolph isn't here. Madigan. LaFluer. No. Representative Barry. Representative Shea, you want to call 1380? Senate Bill 1380."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1380. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to certain claims against the State of Illinois made by the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the appropriation that pays to the claims allowed by the Court of Claims and I ask the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1380 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 130 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1422. No. 1451. Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1451. A Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."



Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the transfer of \$238,500 that I spoke of earlier to Representative Maragos and there's no increase in funds here. It's merely a transfer and I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 1451."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1451 pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 127 'ayes', no 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Hanahan here? Want to take it Ted? Senate Bill 1463."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1463. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Lt. Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill amends the Fiscal 74 appropriation for the Office of Lt. Governor, and transfers \$25,000 between various offices. The Bill came out of Committee 22 to nothing."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1463 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. This question, 137 'aye', 1 'nay' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lechowicz, you want to do any other appropriation bills, 1377, 1260?"

Lechowicz: "Let's go home."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."



Walsh: "We're not adjourning. This is for my Bill, right, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "We have a couple on Second Reading we want to bring back. House Bills, Second Reading."



Arthur Telcser: "House Bill 2790. We are on House Bills,
Second Reading. House Bill 2790."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2790. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Senior Citizens Disabled Persons Property
Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee
Amendment. Amends House Bill 2790 on page 2."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Representative
David Jones."

Jones: "This is a Committee Amendment that put the trigger
finger on this Senior Citizens Tax Relief Bill at 4%
and I move its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Any discussion? The gentleman has offered
to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2790.
All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and
the opposed 'no', the amendment is adopted. Further
amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Lundy. Amends House Bill 2790,
page 6."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This is an amendment to ah...
clarify the applicability of the changes in the ah...
Circuit Breaker Amendment ah... reported out by the
Revenue Committee. It's agreeable to all of the Members
that served on that Sub-Committee and to the Members of
the Revenue Committee and I move its adoption."



Arthur Telcser: "Any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2790. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Lundy. Amends House Bill 2790...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. This again is a clarifying amendment. It makes clear that the Mobile Home Tax, which we allow under the Circuit Breaker Revision ah.... to be counted as Real Estate Taxes ah.... means the Mobile Home Tax which is paid in the year for which benefits are claimed. It is really just a housekeeping amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2790. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2791."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2791, a Bill for an Act in relation to the deferral of payment of real estate taxes by persons 65 years of age or over, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Maragos, amends House Bill 2791..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos..."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment gives the State or any other.....contacted by the. an additional year from what is presently in the Bill.deferred tax...in other words if the taxpayer dies, they've got three years instead of one year as the Bills say, to collect any deferred taxes...by the county or any other taxing body and that's why I ask for its adoption. It's unanimously passed out of Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2791. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Maragos, amends House Bill 2791 on page 1, line 10"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative.... Maragos."

Maragos: "This is a similar Amendment to that mentioned by Mr. Lundythat it does in 2790...in other words it conforms the ...this Bill with the \$10,000 limit on the Circuit Breakers...and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2791, all in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Lundy, amends House Bill 2791..."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy..."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would add to the Senior Citizen Tax Deferral Act, the definition of the term 'income' so that when ...for calculating the \$10,000 income limit, for applicants...we know exactly what we're talking about when we say 'income'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2791. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Jones, amends House Bill 2791 on page"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative J. David Jones."

Jones: "Amendment #4 changes the age of a surviving spouse from 60 to 50 ...to qualify under the consensus of the Bill. Move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Now, Mr. Speaker, IIf I heard that right...I'm.... I'm not to sure...do we have some figures on...on what that will do? Or, the necessity for changing it to age 50? "

Jones: "No, this is for the surviving spouse if a....somebody that has qualified under the Act...and they died and the Bill was age 60....we were lowering it to 50. There may be a case or two where the fellow has a young wife."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of....the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."



Juckett: "What happens if there is a widow who is living in a home and the husband had not been eligible and the woman is under 60? Would she be eligible? Well, I think.... if she is not eligible, I think you've got a very bad Bill. Because the circumstances are in that it's a bad Amendment because the circumstances could be exactly the same....but you're being very discriminatory."

Jones: "No, the age limit is already in the Bill. It's 60. This just lowers it to 50."

Juckett: "Yes, but you also have the proviso that the husband had to have been eligible."

Jones: "But that's not in this Amendment...."

Juckett: "But that's in the Bill....right?....And, so that a husband that was eligible, was over 65...and has passed away...and the wife then would be eligible any-time above age 50, but there a lot of women whose husbands have died who are not married, who are above age 50, who would not be eligible according to your Amendment."

Jones: "Well, take a look at 2791, if you have a corrected Amendment, we'd be glad to consider it."

Juckett: "My corrective Amendment would be, you'd have to include all people of that age...."

Jones: "All right...I'll talk to you about it..."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2791...all in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is ... Do you want a Roll Call? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2791. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. All right now, while we're getting on this Roll Call...ah...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas...ah...wishes to make an announcement or ask something..."



Douglas: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I lost a very valuable Nikormat camera last week.... somewhere around the House and if anyone....it did not have a name on it because it was practically brand-new. It's worth more than \$600 and if anyone found it or heard of its being around somewhere I would very much appreciate you bringing it to my attention. It's a Nikon camera. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, I have ...the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I hate to follow up Representative Douglas but I'm also missing a \$500 Dictaphone machine, which was removed from the time I left here and went over to the office and came back and I really don't think it was a joke. I think it's probably been taken and either we better increase the security here or something because it is sorta disconcerting to lose afive bills that quickly."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to get on mike and say that? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 51 'ayes', 22 'nays', 2 answering 'present', Amendment #4 to House Bill 2791 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Skinner, amends House Bill 2791, page..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #5 takes away the 3 percent that the State Treasurer would deduct from the cost of rebated taxes. And, the reason that I offered it is that the local county treasurers can't charge a treasurers fee so it seems to me there's no reason that the State should charge a collector's fee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."



Shea: "Could the Gentleman explain what the 3 percent collector's fee he is talking about...is?"

Skinner: "There is...there is a percentage administration cost.....that is built into the Bill which unfortunately I didn't catch in the original draft and what I'm suggesting is that if you want to have an administration fee it should be....you know you should base it on reality....on a cost factor rather than on a percentage figure. It seems to me the State Government should be no different from local government in that regard. In any event we estimate the..."

Shea: "This is a Revenue Committee Bill....is that correct?"

Skinner: "Yes it is...."

Shea: "And it came out of a Subcommittee of you and J. David Jones and Mr. Lundy, is that correct? And, they have no objections to the Amendment?"

Skinner: "I don't think so."

Shea: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think this Amendment was considered in Committee. Therefore, I...all the other Amendments that have been proposed by this time had been all approved by the Committee but it was not.... ah..discussed in the Committee...and I don't know what effect is's going to have and therefore I would at this time respectfully ask that it not be voted upon until we know....get further impact on it....that's why ... I didn't...."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker...may I ask that this be taken out of the record and....ask that the Bill be advanced to Third Reading and we can discuss it...."

Speaker Telcser: "All right let's take Amendment #5 out of the Record. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Third Reading... All right, is there anything



else on Second Reading? Someone who wishes toah..
No? Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
William Walsh with a motion."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all an announcement.
This is from the Illinois College Republican Federation
and it says that the Illinois College Republicans
will be holding a Legislative Seminar on Tuesday,
June 4th, here in Springfield. At 4:30 there will be
a reception for College Republicans in Comptroller
George Lindberg's Office and all Republican Members of
the House who are here on Tuesday, the day before we
convene next week are cordially invited. It's signed
Allen L. Pruitt, Chairman of the Illinois College
Republican Federation. And, now Mr. Speaker, I'd
like to suspend some rules because.....to prolong some
business that we were unable to get to today...and the
first one is to suspend Rule 36(d), this would extend
and to extend to June 7th from May 25th, House Bills,
in the House. And, I move, Mr. Speaker, that the
provisions be suspended so that these Bills will die
on June 7th instead of May 25th. And, leave to use the
Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll
Call as the Affirmative Roll Call? Hearing no objections
thenwill be the Roll Call."

Walsh: "And, now, Mr. Speaker, toah...suspend the
provisions of Rule 37(c) and that is the 30 days on
the Calendar rule. I would move to suspend that Rule
so that those Billsah...will not die until June 5th."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved to
suspend the.....Representative Lechowicz, for what
purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "I was wondering if we could have an indication as
to what Bills he was referring to?"

Walsh: "I had hoped that we wouldn't have to get into that,



but as I understand it, there is one Senate Bill on the Calendar and...I think the Parliamentarian is aware of what number that is, could you tell us? I'd be glad to confine the motion to that Bill if you wish.

Lechowicz: "Yeah, I think we should."

Speaker Telcser: "1149....Senate Bill 1149 is the one ..."

Walsh: "All right, then to amend my motion so that Senate Bill 1149ah..will notcome under the provisions of Rule 37(c) and to extend that time to June 5th. And, I'd ask leave, Mr. Speaker, so that the Attendance Roll Call to be used....."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, does that include a House Resolutions.....House Resolution 407....which would die today...?..which is McClain's. That'll be included in the motion?"

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, one more....and that's the provisions of Rule 31.1 with respect to Motions to discharge the Rules Committee, those motions have a life of, I think, two days on the Calendar....to extend those that would die today to June the 5th. And, this is omnibus..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Can I ask the Majority Leader a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Shea: "There is several of those Motions pertaining to Bills that were heard this morning....in Rules.... Now, I don't mind somebody getting one or two shots at.... at getting things out of rules but when they put them on the Calendar prior to the time you as Chairman, call them in Rules,ah...I don't follow the procedure."

Walsh: "I think somesome Members have mistaken Postponed Considerationin the Rules Committeefor rejection....by the Rules Committee. I think we can correct that, perhaps at a later time, butI'd urge



you to sustain my Motion. Use Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there leave....Leave... Is there leave.....?..Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be the Affirmative Roll Call. Further Motions? Representative....ah..."

Walsh: "I don't know that we've adopted the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Not yet. Now, we've got some messages to adopt the Adjournment Resolution....Introductions.... and some Members are still seeking recognition... All right, let's get Representative Ron Hoffman and see what....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise too, to ask suspension of Rule 67 so that Senate Bill 1409, which is of an emergency nature, might be advanced to the order of Second Reading. It has been cleared and thoroughly discussed with both sides of the aisle...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Representative, was there an objection to this same motion this morning?"

Hoffman: "The objection was that it wasn't filed in writing, and we've conformed with that and I've talked with the person who has objected and he has no further objections."

Shea: "Did Giorgi remove his objection?"

Hoffman: "Yes he did."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there leave to useRepresentative Pierce has objected....Representative Hoffman, are you going to persist in suspending the Rule, Sir? No? In view of the objection, are you going to move to suspend the rule?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I had assumed that the



Membership appreciated the fact that all the park districts within the State of Illinois are in a very precarious position. If they do not have clarification by means of 1409, they are going to be filing budgets that are going to jeopardize their levy. Now, every park district in the State of Illinois is very concerned with this Bill and watching to see how quickly we can expedite clarification....and I feel that I have asked and I have conformed to the wishes of the Members who initially had objected. And, if there are additional objections, then I think the park district should look very candidly at those who objected."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman....Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of making an announcement. Counties and Townships Committee will meet immediately after adjournment and if the Committee will bear with me we'll get it over with as quickly as possible. I know the hour is getting late and I appreciate the Members who have stayed for the Committee meetings."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman....Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Palmer: "Well in support of Representative Hoffman's Motion, ...the.."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman is not putting the Motion.. The Gentleman from Cook....Messages from the Senate.."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adopting Amendment #1. House of Representatives, the Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 634, in action taken by the Senate May 29th 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the



Senate has passed the Bills with the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bills 1291, 1394 ...passed the Senate May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of House Amendment #1 to Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 265, as concurred in by the Senate May 29th, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title Senate Bill 1274...in action concurred in by the Senate, May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of House Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 1278, concurred in May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 1320, concurred in by the Senate May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 1321, concurred in by the Senate May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of House Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 1421, concurred in by the Senate May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has



adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #70, resolved by the Senate in the 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, and when the Senate adjourns on Wednesday, May 29th, 1974, they stand adjourned until Tuesday June 4th, 1974, at 1 o'clock p.m. and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Wednesday, May 29th, 1974, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, June 5th, 1974 at 11 o'clock a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time, adopted by the Senate May 29th 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Adjournment Resolution and it says that when we adjourn today that we will return on Wednesday, June 5th at 11 o'clock a.m. for Regular Session, and I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2855, Berman, appropriates \$65,000 for School Problems Commission, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2856, Houlihan, et al, amends the Chicago Policemen's Annuity Benefit Fund Article, First Reading of the Bill, 2857 Fennessey, et al, amends the Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill, 2858, Craig, et al, amends the Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill, 2859, Catania, appropriates \$441,600, Department of Public Aid, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."



Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 982, Yourell, House Resolution 983, Von Boeckman, House Resolution 984, Von Boeckman, et al, House Resolution 986, Hyde, et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'nay', the Resolution....(Blank in tape)

Clerk Selcke: ".....Kelly, et al....House Resolution 990, Fleck...."

Speaker Telcser: "Speaker's Table..."

Clerk Selcke: "All right....Death Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Death Resolutions..."

Clerk Selcke: "Death Resolution, House Resolution 989, Choate et al, in respect to the memory of Mrs. Josephine DiPrima, House Re...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move House Resolution number 989, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Resolution is adopted. Further Death Resolutions. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to announce that Representative DiPrima's Mother will be laid out at the Montclair Funeral Home, 6900 West Belmont Avenue. The wake is Wednesday and Thursday evenings from 6 until 10 and the burial will be at Queen of Heaven Mausoleum. And from Our Lady of the Angel's Church on Friday."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the following is the Death Resolution of a former Member. We will adjourn pursuant to the Adjournment Resolution and adoption of the Death Resolution and we'll go in and out of the First Special....immediately thereafter. Death Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 987, Dan Houlihan, et al, Whereas, the Members of the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly are deeply saddened by the



death yesterday, May 28, 1974; of our good friend and beloved colleague in this body for eight consecutive terms before his retirement at the end of the Seventy-seventh session, the Honorable John P. Downes, of Chicago; and Whereas, Representative Downes was raised and educated on the South Side of Chicago and lived and 8831 South Paulina Street, Chicago, Illinois for more than the last 35 years of his life until his recent move to Naperville, Illinois, after his retirement in 1972; and Whereas, he was employed for 22 years as Supervisor of Revenue in the City of Chicago's Comptroller's Office; and Whereas, he was very active in religious and civic affairs in his community being a member of the Regular Democratic Organization of the 19th Ward in Chicago for over 35 years and Treasurer of St. Ethelreda Holy Name Society, as well as being a Member of South Branch Elks, Loyal Order of Moose, and the Knights of Columbus fraternal organizations; and Whereas he served with honor and distinction in this body for 16 years and was much beloved by his colleagues for his consistently genial disposition throughout all controversies and at every stage thereof; and Whereas he leaves two loving daughters, Mrs. Kay Friedrich and Mrs. Dorothy Hannigan, eight grandchildren, two great grandchildren and two brothers who were very proud of him, and he also leaves all of us in the General Assembly, especially those of us in this chamber where he served so well and so long as well as all with whom he served in Chicago's municipal government and in his church, the members of his fraternal organizations and all his neighbors to mourn his passing; therefore, be it Resolved, By the House of Representatives of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we express our deep sorrow upon the death of our beloved former colleague,

The Honorable John P. Downes, Democratic Representative from the 28th Representative District in Chicago, Illinois; that we extend our heartfelt sympathy to the members of his bereaved family; and, that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be sent to his daughters, Mrs. Kay Friedrich and Dorothy Hannigan; and as a further mark of respect to his memory, this House do now adjourn."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the passing of John Downes was a very sad day for the people of the 28th Legislative District. He represented the district of Representative Emil Jones and Representative Robert Dunne and myself with great distinction and with great ability for eight consecutive terms, concluding with the 77th Session. He was a man of absolute integrity. One of the kindest men I've ever known and he was my close friend. His death represents a great loss not only to me but to the people of our district and the people of this State... whom he served so ably and so well and for so many years. I would invite all of the Members to join with me in the Sponsorship of this Resolution and I now move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of House Resolution 987, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Resolution is adopted and the House stands adjourned until 11 a.m. next Wednesday....."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

MAY 29, 1974

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 29, 1974

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

Speaker Telcser: ".....The First Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave to have the Attendance Roll Call from the Regular Session used as the Attendance Roll Call in the First Special Session. Hearing no objections, that will be the Attendance Roll Call. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution 8, resolved by the Senate, 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, First Special Session. The House of Representatives concurring herein, when the two Houses adjourn Wednesday, May 29, 1974 and stand adjourned until Wednesday June 5, 1974 at 11:30 o'clock a.m., Central Daylight saving Time. Adopted by the Senate May 29, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh moves for the adoption of the Senate Joint Resolution 8. 11 in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh now moves that the First Special Session do stand adjourned until the Regular Session adjourns next Wednesday. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the First Special Session does now stand adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House in order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Spekaer Blair	Roll Call for Attendance Messages from the Senate
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages
		Speaker Blair	Committee reports
2.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee Reports
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, First Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads House Bills; First R.
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions
3.		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Agreed R.
		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	Sings "Happy Birthday"
4.		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolu. are adopted.
		Choate	Rep. Mann and Martin are absent.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads further Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-927
5.		Speaker Blair	
		Miller, T.	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA#1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2049
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
	Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2108	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Further Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
6.		Miller, T.	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 is adopted Third Reading
7.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2125
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2168
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2201
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2234
		Speaker Blair	
		Stiehl	Explains Com. Amend. #1
8.		Speaker Blair	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2242
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2243
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2355
		Speaker Blair	
		Hill	Refers to Amend. #7
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
9.		Walsh, W. Hill Speaker Blair Washburn Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Hill	Introduction That's correct Amendment #7 is Tabled Reads Amend. #8 Explains Amend. #8
10.		Hunsicker)) Hill) Speaker Blair Davis	Discussion Introduction
11.		Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair Hill Speaker Blair Clerk Melcke Speaker Blair Shurtz Speaker Blair	Amend. #8 is adopted Reads Amend. #9 Explains Amend. #9 Amend. #9 is adopted Reads Amend #10 Explains Amend. #10 Amend. #10 is adopted Third Reading
12.		Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair Krause Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair	Reads HB-2419 Explains CA #1 CA #1 is adopted Third Reading Reads HB-2458



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	Moves adoption
		Speaker Blair)	Explain
13.		Shea)	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Schlickman)	Same Bill?
		Shea)	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2511
14.		Speaker Blair	
		Bradley	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Bradley	Explains CA #2
		Speaker Blair	CA #2 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2512
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2561
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
15.		Davis	Introduction
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2565
		Speaker Blair	
		Krause	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Schlickman	
		Krause	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
16.		Clerk Selcke	Mistake on the Calendar
		Schlickman)	
)	Discussion
		Krause)	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Krause	Explains CA #2
		Speaker Blair	CA #2 is adopted
			Third Reading
17.		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2573
		Speaker Blair	
		Telcser	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea)	
)	Discussion) Held
18.		Telcser)) on
) Second
		Speaker Blair	HB-2573...TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2624
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2666
		Speaker Blair	
		Merlo	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Merlo	Explains CA #2
		TELCSER IN CHAIR.....	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR	
19.		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Merlo	Explains CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	CA #3 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2709
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
20.		Davis	Table CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 is Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3
21.		Davis	Explains CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
22.		Lechowicz	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
23.		Davis	To close
24.		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Explains "no" vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 prevails



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 is Tabled Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2754
25.		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1...Discussion?
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Glerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2778
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2790 (Special)
		Speaker Telcser	2790...TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2798
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2799
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
26.		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	Call HB-2343?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2343
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
27.		Hoffman, R.	Suspend Rule for SB-1409



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Wants an opinion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Doesn't favor
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
28.		Hoffman, R.	Different Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	in favor now
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
29.		Hirschfeld	Point of order
		Giorgi	
		Hirschfeld	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McMaster	What's the reason?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.)	
30.)	Discussion
		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	Committee Reports
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Committee Report
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Rule 67 (b)
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
31.		Hoffman, R.	It will be written
		Speaker Telcser	
		Calvo	Added as Cosponsor
		Speaker Telcser	Journalized
		Lauer	Added as Cosponsor
		Speaker Telcser	Journalized
			Senate Bills, Second Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1400
32.		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Table CA #1
		Shea	Inquiry?
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads CA.#2
		Hoffman, G.	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 is adopted
			Third Reading
		Shea	Introduction
33.		Speaker Telcser	
		Rose	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Epton	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
34.		Geo-Karis	Reads HR-974
		Speaker Telcser	
35.		Dyer	
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions are adopted.
			House Bills, Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2640
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues with HB-2640
36.		Speaker Telcser	
37.			
38.		Blair	Explains HB-2640
39.			
40.		Speaker Telcser	
41.			
42.		Katz	"Opposes" HB-2640
43.			
44.		Speaker Telcser	
45.		Schlickman	"Opposes"
46.		Speaker Telcser	
47.		Deuster	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Introduction
48.		Speaker Telcser	
49.		Day	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
50.		Shea	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
51.		Grotberg	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
52.		Geo-Karis	"Supports"
53.		Speaker Telcser	
54.			
55.		Blair	"To close"
		Speaker Telcser	
56.		Dyer	Explains "Yes" Vote...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
57.		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	"Supports"
58.		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
59.		Hoffman, Ron	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
60.		Skinner	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cuningham	
		Speaker Telcser	
61.		Waddell	
		Speaker Telcser	
62.		Blair	Poll the absentees?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Placed on Postponed Con.
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2640; Postponed Consideration
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2128
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2244
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Amendment distributed? Return 2244 to Second?
63.		Speaker Telcser	Deuster objects!
		Katz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Renewing objection
		Speaker Telcser	voting on Katz's Motion...
		Deuster	Parliamentary inquiry
64.		Speaker Telcser	Don't vote your seatmate! Katz's Motion prevails! HB-2244 is on Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #10
		Katz	Table Amendment #10
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #10 is Tabled
		Glerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #11
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	Explains Amendment #11
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	No copy!
65.		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	Urges adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
66.		Skinner	Opposes Amendment #11
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	To close
67.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #11 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #12
68.		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R. L.	Explains Amendment #12
		Speaker Telcser	
		Epton	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
69.		Epton))	Discussion
		Dunne, R. L.)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	Amend #12 is in order
70.		Day	
		Speaker Telcser	Point of order
		Day	
		Speaker Telcser)	Amendment #12 contrasts with Am. #7
71.		Day)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
		Day)	
		Hirschfeld	Dissent from Chair's Ruling
		Speaker Telcser	
72.		Hirschfeld	Wants Motion to be Journalized
		Speaker Telcser	
73.		Hoffman, G.	Stop wasting time
		Speaker Telcser	
74.		Juckett	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Check the record
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett)	Amend. is dilatory
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
		Geo-Karis)	
75.		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
75.		Deuster	Opposes Amendment #12
76.		Speaker Telcser	
77.		Tipsword	
		Speaker Telcser	
78.		Yourell	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	Roll call vote on Motion to move previous question Motion fails
79.		Day	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
80.		Lundy	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
81.		Palmer	
		Speaker Telcser	
82.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Not germane
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
83.		Shea	
		Skinner	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
84.		Dunne, R. L.	To close on Amend. #12
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	Explains vote.
85.		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
86.		Dunne, R. L. Speaker Telcser	Request Poll of absentees
		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
87		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	"present"; explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Proceeds with absentees...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R. L.	Verify the Neg. Roll Call
88.		Speaker Telcser	Affirmative request also
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirmative
		Speaker Telcser	
89.		Day	Questions of Affirmative
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
90.		Choate) Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
		Campbell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Campbell	Vote me "no"..
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Proceeds with Affirmative..
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
91.		Clerk Selcke	
		Flinn	Vote me "Aye"...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day)	Proceeds
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Redmond	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	71 "aye"; 77 "nays"...
		Shea	Who was taken off?
92.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Negative Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brinkmeier	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Brinkmeier	Vote me "Aye"
		Speaker Telcser	McGrew "Aye"
93.		Alsup	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Dunne, R. L.)	Questions of Negative Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Striehl	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
95.		Dunne, R. L.	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Stone	Vote me "aye"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Vote me "No"
		Speaker Telcser	Amend #12 fails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amend. #13
96.		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R. L.	Table Amendment #13
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #13 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amend. #14
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Copies distributed?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Table Amend. #14
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #14 is tabled...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amend. #15
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Explains Amend. #15
		Speaker Telcser	
97.		Katz	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Yield?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten)	Question
)	
		Skinner)	Discussion
		Totten	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
98.		Blair	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber)	
99.)	Discussion
		Skinner)	
		Leinenweber	Support
		Speaker Telcser	
100.		Skinner	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Completely tax Free...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	
101.		Grotberg	Explains "Aye" vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amend #15 is adopted
102.		Griesheimer	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #16
103.		Speaker Telcser	
104.		Skinner	Explains Amendment #16
105.		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
106.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Explains Vote
		Speaker Telcser	
107.		Duff	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #16 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #17
		Speaker Telcser	(very bad tape at this point)
		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #17
108.		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
109.		Totten	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten)	Question
)	
		Geo-Karis)	Discussion
		Totten	"Supports" , speaks to the Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
110.		Katz	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
111.		Skinner	"Supports"



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Confine remarks to Amendment #17
		Speaker Telcser	
112.		Geo-Karis	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #17 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #18
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Distributed yet?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Willing to wait...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Let's proceed!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Wants ruling
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Can't go out of order
114.		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
115.		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Point of order



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Take the Bill out for now!
		Speaker Telcser	
117.		Ketz	Wants his ruling!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser)	
118.		Duff)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
119.		Duff)	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser)	on question before the House
		Hoffman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	Moves HB-2244 go to Third
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Joins in the Motion
		Speaker Telcser	



DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
120.		Speaker Telcser	Motions is out of order
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	It's a valid motion!
		Totten	
121.		Speaker Telcser	Moves to suspend 34 (a)
		Maragos	We've got the Amend. now.
		Speaker Telcser	Move Amend #18 be tabled
		Matijevich)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Wants ruling on Motion
122.		Maragos)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Hunsicker	
		Speaker Telcser	
123.		Matijevich	Point of privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	Please Rule!
		Maragos	Motion not timely
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to table Amend. #18
		Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	Let him explain #18
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	
124.		Totten	Explains Amend #18



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
125.		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Renews Motion to Table
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Motion lie upon the Table
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Motion lie upon the Table
		Speaker Telcser	
126.		Deuster	Against tabling motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	How many votes?
		Speaker Telcser	
127.		Clerk Selcke	Reads absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
128.		Clerk Selcke	Verifies the Roll
		BLAIR IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Blair	
		Yourell	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Yourell	Record me "Aye"
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Yourell	Sorry "No"
		Speaker Blair	
		Yourell	Back to "Aye"
		Speaker Blair	
129.; 130.;		Totten)	
131.; 131...)	Questions of the Roll
		Speaker Blair)	
		Peters	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Peters	Vote me "Aye"
132.		Speaker Blair	Motion to table prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #19
		Speaker Blair	
		Matijevich	Moves to table Amend. #19
		Speaker Blair	Hold motion
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Speaker Blair	
133.		Totten	Explains Amend. #19
		Speaker Blair	
134.		Maragos	Point of order
		Speaker Blair	
		Matijevich	Remove motion to table
		Speaker Blair	
		Totten	
135.		Speaker Blair	Motion to table prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amend. #20
		Speaker Blair	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
136.		Deuster	Explains Amend. #20
		Speaker Blair	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Blair	
137.		Deuster	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
		Speaker Blair	Amend. #20 fails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amend. #21
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	Not printed yet
		Speaker Blair	
		Barnes	
138		Speaker Blair	
139.		Pierce	Moves Amend #21 lie on table.
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	Explains vote
		Speaker Blair	Skinner request poll of abs.
140.		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		TELCSEER IN CHAIR.....	
		Schraeder	(turn down the lights) Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		-Finn-	
141.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sevcik	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotberg	Personal privilege Happy Birthday Rep. Sevcik.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Proceeds
		Speaker Telcser	
142.		Clerk Selcke	Proceeds with absentees
143.		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Asks for verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirm. Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Withdraws requests
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to table prevails HB-2244; Third Reading
144.		Katz	Don't want it called now!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2289
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2289
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J. J.	Explains HB-2289
145.		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J. J.	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	--HB-2289---
		Wolf, J. J.	Poll of absentees
146.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Wolf, J. J.	Postponed consideration HB-2289 on postponed HB-2406
		Clerk Selcké	Reads HB-2406
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	Explains HB-2406
147.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2406 is failed HB-2407
		Clerk Selcké	Reads HB-2407
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	TOOR
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2407, TOOR
		Clerk Selcké	Reads HB-2426
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	Explains HB-2426
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
148.		Chapman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Explains "No" vote
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2426 is passed HB-2653
		Schlickman	My Amendment not ready TOOR
149.		Speaker Telcser	Committee Reports
		Clerk Selcké	Reads Committee Report
150.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2349, Third
		Clerk Selcké	Reads HB-2349
		Speaker Telcser	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
151.		Redmond	Explains HB-2349
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2349 is passed HB-2360
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2360
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	TOOR
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2360...TOOR HB-2457
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2457
		Speaker Telcser	
152.		Matijevich	Explains HB-2457
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2457 is passed HB-2506
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2506
		Speaker Telcser	
153.		Epton	Explains HB-2506
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2506 is passed HB-2608
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2608
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains HB-2608
		Speaker Telcser	
154.		Maragos)	
155.)	Discussion
		Ryan)	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2608 is passed Senate Bills, Third... SB-1380
	Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1380	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Explains SB-1380
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1380 is passed SB-1451
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1451
		Speaker Telcser	
156.		Ryan	Explains SB-1451
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1451 is passed SB-1463
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1463
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1463
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1463 is passed
157.		Walsh, W.	
158.		Speaker Telcser	House Bills, Second... HB-2790
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2790
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, J. D.	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
159		Lundy	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 is adopted THIRD READING



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
160.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2791
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2791
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 is adopted
161.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, J. D.	Explains Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J. J.)	
)	Discussion
		Jones, J. D.)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett)	Yield?
)	Discussion
162.		Jones, J. D.)	
		Speaker Telcser	
163.		Douglas	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	



DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
160.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2791
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-2791
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 is adopted
161.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, J. D.	Explains Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J. J.)	
)	
		Jones, J. D.)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett)	Yield?
)	
162.		Jones, J. D.)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
163.		Douglas	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Hirschfeld	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Explains Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
164.		Shea)	
)	Discussion
		Skinner)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Skinner	TOOR
165.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #5...TOOR Third Reading
		Walsh	Announcement Suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
166.		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
167.		Shea)	
)	Discussion
		Walsh, W.)	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave to suspend ...granted
		Hoffman, R.	Suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Objection?
)	
		Hoffman, R.)	
		Speaker Telcser	Pierce has objected



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: May 29, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
168.		Hoffman, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McMaster	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	
		Speaker Telcser	Messages from Senate
169.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages and Adjournment Resolution
170.		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Adjournment Resolution
		Speaker Telcser	Adjournment Resolution adopted House Bills, First
		Clerk Selcke	Reads House Bills, First
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolution
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolution
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolution adopted
		(Blank in tape.)	
		Speaker Telcser	Death Resolution
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Death Resolution
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted HR-989
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HR-987 (Death Resol.)
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Moves adoption. Speaks on HR-987
		Speaker Telcser	HR-987

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	HR-987 is adopted House is adjourned
174.		Speaker Telcser	First Special Session
		Speaker Telcser	Messages from Senate
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages
		Speaker Telcser	SJR #8 is adopted... First Special Session is adjourned!



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES